DRAFT 06/04/2013 CCIIO/SEG



# QUALIFIED HEALTH PLAN APPLICATION STATE REVIEW TOOLS USER GUIDE: DATA ANALYSIS

Version 1.0



i

### **Change History Table**

Version Number	Version Date	Summary of Changes
1.0	06/6/2013	Initial release, Version 1.0 (aligns with Version 2.0 of the QHP Application State Review Tools)





## QUALIFIED HEALTH PLAN APPLICATION STATE REVIEW TOOLS USER GUIDE: Data Analysis, Version 1.0

### **Table of Contents**

QHP Application State Review Tools User Guide: Data Analysis	I
Introduction	I
Using This Guide	3
QHP Application State Review Tools Overview	3
I. Review Summary	5
II: Accreditation Review	6
III: Program Attestation Review	8
IV: SHOP Tying Review	12
V: Essential Health Benefit (EHB) Review	15
VI: Essential Community Provider (ECP) Review	26
VII: Formulary (Drug) Review	32
VIII: Cost Sharing Review	36
IX: Meaningful Difference Review	41
X: Actuarial Value (AV) Review	48
XI: Non-Discrimination Benefit Review	56
XII: Service Area Review	61
XIII. Network Adequacy Review	64
Appendix I: Acronyms and Terms	67
Appendix II: Application of the SHOP Participation Provision by Issuer	70
INFORMATION NOT RELEASABLE TO THE PUBLIC UNLESS AUTHORIZED BY LAW: This information has not been publicly disclosed and may be privileged and confidential. It is for internal government	

use only and must not be disseminated, distributed, or copied to persons not authorized to receive the information. Unauthorized disclosure may result in prosecution to the full extent of the law.



### QHP APPLICATION STATE REVIEW TOOLS USER GUIDE: DATA ANALYSIS

### INTRODUCTION

The Qualified Health Plan (QHP) Application State Review Tools are a set of Excel-based evaluation services that states can use to evaluate QHP applications for compliance with Federal certification standards. The QHP Application State Review Tools are comprised of six tools: (1) Master Review Tool; (2) Formulary Tool; (3) Cost Sharing Tool; (4) Essential Community Provider (ECP) Tool; (5) Meaningful Difference Tool; and (6) Non-Discrimination Benefit Review Tool. The ability of a state to use the automated portions of these tools is contingent upon the state's use of the Federally developed standard data collection templates for its QHP applications (e.g., Plans and Benefits templates). The QHP Application State Review Tools are offered as one methodology for states performing plan management activities, regardless of Marketplace<sup>1</sup> model, to review each of the required standards.

This user guide follows <u>QHP Application State Review Tool User Guide: Loading the Data</u> and assists states that are using the QHP Application State Review Tools with review of QHP plans' compliance with certification standards. While <u>QHP Application State Review Tool User Guide: Loading the</u> *Data* leads state reviewers through loading and running the tools, this user guide explains how to analyze and validate the review tools output data and track the results.<sup>2</sup> It provides step-by-step instructions for reviewing each QHP certification standard in the Master Review Tool, including the process for validating results from stand-alone tools. The table below lists the QHP certification standards, indicates which standards can be evaluated by using the tools, and includes a list of the sources needed to perform each proposed review.

QHP Certification Standard	Proposed Approach for Reviewing QHP Certification Standard	Master	Stand- Alone	Proposed Sources for Reviews
Accreditation	Ensure compliance with proposed accreditation timeline. Collect and verify information on issuers' existing accreditation during issuer application period for use in determining if QHP meets accreditation requirement.	~		Issuer Applications
Program Attestation	Accept issuer attestation of compliance with regulation (note that Marketplace Final Rule defers to existing state marketing laws) and conduct post-certification monitoring.	~		General Issuer Attestations

1 The QHP Application State Review Tools refer to Health Insurance Marketplaces as "Exchanges". This guide has been updated to be consistent with the current naming convention, Marketplaces, and thus the word "Exchanges" should be used interchangeably with the word "Marketplaces".

2 For assistance with loading plan data into the tools, please see <u>QHP Application State Review Tools User Guide: Loading the Data</u>.



QHP Certification Standard	Proposed Approach for Reviewing QHP Certification Standard	Master	Stand- Alone	Proposed Sources for Reviews		
SHOP Tying	Confirm issuer compliance with SHOP Tying Provision; if noncompliant, confirm satisfactory justification has been provided.	~		<ul> <li>SHOP Tying Provision (45 CFR 156.200(g))</li> <li>Provider SHOP Tying Justifications</li> </ul>		
Essential Health Benefits Standards	Confirm that the plan being reviewed complies with standards for the provision of essential health benefits (EHB) consistent with Federal rules.	~		<ul> <li>Plans and Benefits Templates OR</li> <li>Form Filings</li> </ul>		
Essential Community Providers (ECP)	Collect issuer data on ECPs included in each network. Verify whether the issuer's network meets the regulatory standard consistent with Federally-facilitated Marketplace (FFM) policies and a reasonable interpretation of the regulation.	~	~	<ul> <li>Service Area Templates</li> <li>ECP Templates</li> <li>Plans and Benefits Templates</li> </ul>		
Formulary	Ensure compliance with EHBs and check for discrimination by counting drugs in each therapeutic category and class.	✓	✓	<ul><li>Formulary Templates</li><li>Justification Documents</li></ul>		
Actuarial Value and Cost Sharing Reductions	Verify that the QHP meets applicable actuarial value (AV) standards and cost-sharing reduction (CSR) requirements, consistent with Federal rulemaking.	✓	✓	<ul> <li>Unified Rate Review Templates</li> <li>Plans and Benefits Templates</li> </ul>		
Meaningful Difference	Ensure QHP applications are "substantially different" from issuer's other applications so that consumers are not likely to have difficulty distinguishing among the issuer's offerings.	~	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Plans and Benefits Templates</li> </ul>		
Discriminatory Benefit Design	Conduct plan-level analyses targeting areas where discrimination would most likely occur, consistent with applicable regulations, to ensure that issuers do not employ benefit designs that discourage enrollment of individuals with significant health needs.	~	~	Plans and Benefits     Templates		
Service Area	Verify that each service area meets geographic standards set forth in Exchange Final Rule and is non-discriminatory (e.g., service areas of at least an entire county).	~		• Service Area Templates		
Network Adequacy	Develop a process for evaluating network adequacy consistent with the Final Rule on the Establishment of Exchanges and Qualified Health Plans that includes one of the following operational procedures: current or proposed state network adequacy review, accepting attestation from an accredited issuer, or requiring issuer to submit a network adequacy plan.	✓		<ul> <li>Network Adequacy Section of QHP Application</li> <li>Network Access Plan</li> </ul>		



QHP Certification Standard	Proposed Approach for Reviewing QHP Certification Standard	Master	Stand- Alone	Proposed Sources for Reviews
Licensure and Solvency	Verify licensure and good standing with state Department of Insurance (DOI) or collect documentation from issuer.			

### USING THIS GUIDE

You may find it helpful to skim the guide to get a sense of the following characteristics:

- Items that appear in italics are *features*. E.g., "Open the ECP *Output* tab."
- Items that are in bold type are **functions**. E.g., "Select **Met**."
- For space considerations, screenshots of Excel worksheets may not include the full data picture.
- Each section of this user guide corresponds to a tab in the Master Review Tool. Tabs are ordered consistent with how they appear in the Master Review Tool.

### QHP APPLICATION STATE REVIEW TOOLS OVERVIEW

Refer to the <u>*OHP Application State Review Tools User Guide: Loading the Data*</u> to see a list of all the documents and templates that were used to populate and run the Master Review Tool. The tools listed and described in the table below offer one methodology for reviewing the required standards. States may use all, none, or only portions of the review tools.

These tools can only be run for plans that are intended to be offered inside of the Marketplace, plans that are intended to be offered outside of the Marketplace. All of the review standards apply to plans that are inside the Marketplace, but not all of them apply to plans that are outside of the Marketplace. The Master Review Tool will grey out reviews when they are not applicable on the *Review Summary* tab, and plans offered outside of the Marketplace will not be listed on the tabs of the standards which are not applicable. The Non-Discrimination and Cost Sharing tools can be run for plans that are offered inside and outside the Marketplace, and the tools themselves contain further instructions on how to run them. The Formulary Tool can also be run for plans that are offered inside and outside the Marketplace, since it works at a drug list level, and hence works for all drugs lists regardless of which plans they are assigned to. The Meaningful Difference and ECP Tools are not to be used for plans outside of the Marketplace, since the Marketplace and ECP standards only apply to plans that are inside of the Marketplace.

QHP Application Review Tool	Function
Master Review Tool	• Used to perform the reviews for several required standards.
	• Contains proposed step-by-step review processes for each standard.
	• Includes additional direction when a stand-alone tool (described below) may help with a particular review.
Essential Community Providers	• Calculates the total ECPs an issuer has in a service area.
(ECP) Tool	• Compares the total ECP number to the ECPs available in that service area.



QHP Application Review Tool	Function
	• Confirms if the percent of ECPs covered is above a given threshold.
Formulary Tool	<ul> <li>Assists in the drug counting service on Health Insurance Oversight System (HIOS).</li> <li>Ensures that the drug count for each drug category and class meets or exceeds the state's benchmark.</li> <li>Reviews for the greater of one in each U.S. Pharmacopeia (USP) Category/Class as well as the benchmark counts.</li> </ul>
Cost Sharing Tool	<ul> <li>Conducts four cost-sharing standards analyses (when applicable to the specific plan):</li> <li>Out-of-Pocket Maximum (OOPM) Review,</li> <li>Small Group Deductible (SGD) Review,</li> <li>Cost-Sharing Reduction (CSR) Review, and</li> <li>Catastrophic Plan Review.</li> </ul>
Meaningful Difference Tool	• Compares all plans an issuer offers to identify multiple, identical plans that are offered in the same counties.
Non-Discrimination Benefit Review Tool	<ul> <li>Cross-checks all state plans against predetermined benefits.</li> <li>Determines coverage discrimination when a benefit has significantly higher copay or coinsurance, or a significantly lower quantitative limit than most other plans.</li> </ul>



#### 06/04/2013 CCIIO/SEG

## I. REVIEW SUMMARY

The Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab tracks whether each plan has met its applicable QHP standards. You will not work directly in this tab as it auto-populates based on the information input from the other review tabs. The Master Review Tool is just one option for plan and issuer evaluation. State regulators may use the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* results as they see fit, regardless of whether a plan meets or does not meet its applicable standard(s).

File Ha	i → ome Insert Page Layout	t Formulas Da	Master Review Tool v ata Review View Proposal Tools	Z.xlsm - Microsoft Excel	-		<u>م</u>	2 - F ×
Normal Page Layout	Page Break Preview Views Screen Workbook Views	Ruler Pro	rmula Bar eadings Zoom 100% Zoom to Selection Zoom	Split View Side by Side Hide at Synchronous Scrolling Unitide Window Positio Window	Save Switch Workspace Windows -	lacros		
C18	• - fx	=IF(C3<>"", HLOOK	(UP(C5, 'Benefit Cost Sharing'!\$F\$27:\$AZZ\$139, 113, FALSE	E), "")				~
				C	D	Ē	F	*
		Master	r Review Tool					
				Validation Results				
			HIOS Issu	uer ID: 18637	18637	18637	18637	A ft an average man (1)
				Standard Bronze Off	Standard Bronze On	Standard Silver Off	Standard Sil	After you run the
			Type of	f Plan: Exchange Plan	Exchange Plan	Exchange Plan	Exchange Pl	Master Review
			P	lan ID: 18637VT0123456-00	18637VT0123456-01	18637VT0123457-00	18637VT012	Tool, the Review
	~		]	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	PlansBenents vism,	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	18637- PlansBenefit	Summary tab
	Standards not ap	plicable	Plan Benefit Workbook Name, Benefits Pac	ckage: Benefits Package 1	Benefits Package 1	Benefits Package 1	Benefits Pac	
	will show as gra	y cells	Formula	list ID: Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not input	Drug list not	automatically
	0	5	Netwo	ork ID: VTN001	VTN001	VTN001	VIN901	populated.
_			Service ar	ea ID: VTS001	VTS001	VTS002	VTS002	
	Section/Standard		Function of Review					
<u>ЕНВ</u>		Ensure the state	that the QHP template covers every benefit covered e benchmark and do a manual check for substitution	d in ns. Met	Met	Met	Ме	The Master Review Tool
16 ECP		Ensure standar	issuers have ECPs, where available, that meet the ds.	policy	Not Met		Not N	tab cells auto-
17 Formu	lary	Ensure counting	compliance with EHBs and check for discrimination g drugs in each therapeutic category and class.	n by Met	Met	Met	Me	populate based on the information
<u>Benefi</u> 18	it Cost Sharing	Check of deductil the IRS catastro	only in-network out-of-pocket maximum and small gub ble costs for individual and family EHB coverage ag annual dollar limit, ensure the cost sharing variation ophic plans meet all requirements.	roup gamst is and Met	Not Met	Met	Not N	input from the other tabs.
Meani 19	ngful Difference	Identify i type and and dec	if an issuer submits four or more QHPs of the same or metal level in a county and review further for netwo ductible differences.	e plan prk	Not Met		Met	
Ready	All ECP Data Review Sum	mary Accreditation	n / Program Attestation SHOP Tying / EHB / ECP / Fo	ormulary 🦲 Benefit Cost Sharing 🟒	Meaningful Difference / A		I 125% ⊖	



## II: ACCREDITATION REVIEW

The Accreditation review ensures that the issuer is accredited by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) or URAC, or is working toward accreditation. Accreditation is reviewed at the issuer level rather than the plan level.

- 1. Review issuer accreditation to determine if the provider is accredited by NCQA or URAC using the issuer application and populate the Accreditation review (in the Master Review Tool *Accreditation* tab), with **Met** or **Not Met** accordingly.
- 2. As you complete the review for each issuer, the Review Summary tab will auto-populate the results for each plan.





7

3. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *Accreditation* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results

	<b>∞</b> ~ ( <b>&gt;</b>  =	Master Review Tool, v3jkp.xlsm - Microsof	ft Excel				
File	Home Insert Page Layout Formu	slas Data Review View Proposal Tools				3 = e ×	
Normal	Page Page Break Custom Full Layout Preview Views Screen Workbook Views	Zoom Zoom     Z	I View Side by Side Synchronous Scralling Reset (Vindow Position W Window	Save Switch Macros prkspace Windows * Macros			
	E17 • (= f <sub>s</sub> =IF(E3⇔"	", HLOOKUP(CONCATENATE(E3, ", ", E7), Formulary!\$F\$6:\$AZZ\$18, 13, FALSE), '	")			*	
	А	В	E	F	G	<u> </u>	
1		Master Review Tool					
2				Numero and a second sec			
3		HIOS Issuer ID:	18637	18637	18637	18637	
4		Type of Plan:	Standard Silver On Exchange Plan	Standard Silver On Exchange Plan	Standard Bronze On Exchange Plan	Standard I Exchange	
5		Plan ID:	18637VT0123458-01	18637VT0123459-01	18637VT0123460-01	18637VT0	
			18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	18637- PlansBene	
6		Plan Benefit Workbook Name, Benefits Package:	Benefits Package 1	Benefits Package 1	Benefits Package 1	Benefits P	
8		Formulary ID.	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list n	
9		Network ID:	VTN001	VTN002	VTN001	VTN001	Open the Master Review
10		Service area ID:	VTS001	VTS002	VTS001	VTS001	Tool <i>Review Summary</i> tab to
11	Section/Standard	Function of Review					1 oor Review Summary tuo to
12	Accreditation	Ensure the issuer is accredited by NCQA or URAC, or is assumed to be working towards accreditation.	Met 🗲	Mot	Met	Ĩ	see the auto-populated review
13	Program Attestation	Collect issuer attestation to meeting state marketing standards.	Met	Met	Met	1	results.
14	SHOP Tying	Confirm issuer compliance with SHOP Tying Provision; if noncompliant, confirm satisfactory justification has been provided.	Met	Met	Met	I.	
I	EHB	Ensure that the QHP template covers every benefit evered in the state benchmark and do a memory coneck for substitutions.	Met	Met	Met	I+	
Ready	M All Service Area Data / All ECP Data )	Review Summary Accreditation / Program Attestation / SHOP Tying CEHB	EQ2 Formulary Z Bene	ant Cost Sharing	<b>III</b> I 130% (-)	•	

4. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the *Accreditation* review.



### III: PROGRAM ATTESTATION REVIEW

The *Program Attestation* review evaluates QHP applications for completed issuer attestation. The <u>QHP instructions document for Program Attestations</u> lists the attestations for which a "No" answer is acceptable.

1. Use the General Issuer Attestation to populate the *Program Attestation* review (in the Master Review Tool *Program Attestation* tab) for each issuer with Met or Not Met, accordingly.





	* 0  +		Mast	er Review Tool_v	2.xism [Read-Only]	- Microsoft Excel			
File	Home In	nsert Page Layout Formula	s. Data Review View Proposal Tool	5					a 🔮 🗖 🗗
Paste Clip	≰ Cut ≧ Copy ≈ ∮ Format Painte bboard	Arial + 9.5 + 4 <b>B I</b> <u>U</u> + + 3 + Font	A A = = = 於·· 副 Wrap Text A · 重量量 算算 國Merge & Cent G Alignment	General er - \$ - %	* **** C	onditional Format ormatting r as Table r S Styles	Cell Insert Delete Forma	Σ AutoSum * A Fill * Sort & Fin Clear * Filter * Sel Editing	id & ett v
	H6	• fr Met							6
A	В	2	D	E	F	G	H I I	J	К. L
1		Program At	testation Process Steps						
2				HIDSIssant	Validation Hesul	30942	33674	T T	
4 Revie	w Review step	Beview description and	Step description	Source					
5		Program	Attestation Validation 1						
1		Confirm that general issuer attestation section response is completed.		Attestation			T		
7 1	a		Check general issuer attestation to determine whether the issuer answered "yes" or "no" to this section. If the issuer answered "no" go to step 1b. If the issuer answered "yes" mark as met	K			K		
1	ь		If the issuer provides a "No" response to one or more groupings of attestations, the applicant must complete a single Statement of Detailed Attestation Responses document available at https://zone.cms.gov/ and http://www.Regtap.info.to.detail.how it is responding to each of the individual attestations in each grouping. The issuer must provide an answer to each individual attestation in the Statement of Detailed Attestation Responses. For any attestation listed with an asterisk (*) in the OHP Instructions to which the issuer provides a "No" response, the issuer must also submit a justification as to why the issuer is not attesting. This document will be uploaded into the Other file upload in the Benefits & Service Area Module of the HIOS QHP Application system. Attestations without an asterisk are required and must be marked as "yes." If there is a "No" response to a question without an asterisk, mark as not met.		Met	Not Met	Met		Use the drop- down menus to indicate if provider attestations to the compliance elements are <b>Met</b> or <b>Not</b>
1	o		Check that a justification is provided for those attestations with asterisks in the QHP Application Instructions document which are marked "no." These justifications should be complete and meet the parameters provided in the Statement of Detailed Attestation Responses. Because these attestations with asterisks are optional, if the justification is missing you should still mark as met, but you may make a note if you would like to request that the issuer resubmit with the justification.						Met.
0		Program	Attestation Validation 2						
2		If applicable, confirm that the compliance plan is completed and unloaded		Attestation					
	Table Duon	iow Instructions All Disc.	The submission of a compliance plan is optional, but if the issuer answered "use" to the compliance plan attestation pata	Roviou Curro	nan According	ion Drogenes Atta	estation SHOD Trina		
Ready	TOOR OVER	NEWUPURUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU		wanaw aunin	nuny nucli d'ultat	Frogram Att	Concorr a shor ming ( Er		回 円 80% (二) (1)





2. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the Validation Results (Met or Not Met) for the attestation requirements.

-	Sut	Arial + 9.5 + 7	A* A* = = 🗞 Wrap Text	General	Ŧ		<b>I</b>	Σ	AutoSum * AT
1	Format Painter	B I U * 🛄 * 🌺 *	· A · 新聞書 建 律 翻 Merge & Cent	er - \$ - %	00, 0 € 0€ 00.	Conditional Format Formatting * as Table	Cell Insert	Delete Format	Clear * Filter * Select *
Clipbo	ard is	Font	Alignment	Num	iber G	Styles		Cells	Editing
)	5	• ( fs							
		Program At	Itestation Process Steps		F	G	Н	1	J K. L
					Validation Re	sults	10000		
ouiow	Beujew sten	Benjew description and	Sten description	HIOS Issuer ID: Source	18637	30942	33674		
2	neview step	If applicable, confirm that the compliance plan is completed and	Step description	Attestation					
2	ä	uploaded.	The submission of a compliance plan is optional, but if the issuer answered "yes" to the compliance plan attestation, check that compliance plan is uploaded and that the issuer saved the document with proper naming convention. Because this is optional, if the plan is missing you should still mark as met, but you may make a note if you would like to request that the issuer resubmit with the complement.		Met	Not Met	Met		The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider
		Program	Attestation Validation 3			-			based on the
3		Confirm that organizational chart attestation section response is completed and the organizational chart is unloaded	1	Supporting document uploads					Validation Results (Met or Not Met)
3	à	onarts opeadea.	Confirm that organizational chart is included in application. If the organizational chart is not uploaded, mark as not met.		Met.	NotMet	Met		for the attestation requirements
3	ь		Compare company name with company name provided in application. If company names do not match, mark as not met.	-					
				Based on the previous validation steps, the program attestation review requirement for this issuer is:	Met	Not Met	Met		
					1				
			Inet.	Based on the previous validation steps, the program attestation review requirement for this issuer is:	Met	Not Met	Mer		



3. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *Program Attestation* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.

	<u>n</u> → 6  ÷	Master Review Tool_v3jkp.xlsm - Microso	ft Excel			
File	Home Insert Page Layout Form	ulas Data Review View Proposal Tools				s 🕜 💳 🗗 🗙
Norma	Page Page Break Custom Full Layout Preview Views Screen Workbook Views	☑ Formula Bar     Q     Image: Community of the second se	Uiew Side by Side Synchronous Scrölling Reset. Window Position Window	Save Switch orkspace Windows * Macros Macros		
	E17 ▼	", HLOOKUP(CONCATENATE(E3, ", ", E7), Formulary!\$F\$6:\$AZZ\$18, 13, FALSE), '	")			~
	А	В	E	F	G	·
1		Master Review Tool				
2						
3		HIOS Issuer ID:	18637	18637	18637	18637
4		Type of Plan:	Standard Silver On Exchange Plan	Standard Silver On Exchange Plan	Standard Bronze On Exchange Plan	Standard I Exchange
5		Plan ID:	18637VT0123458-01	18637VT0123459-01	18637VT0123460-01	18637VTC
6		Plan Benefit Workbook Name Benefits Package	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm, Benefits Package 1	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm, Benefits.Package 1	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm, Benefits Package 1	18637- PlansBene Benefits P
7		Formulary ID:	VTE001	VTF001	VTF001	VTE001
8		Drug list ID:	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	
9		Network ID:	VTN001	VTN002	VTN001	
10		Service area ID:	VTS001	VTS002	VTS001	Open the Master Review
11	Section/Standard	Function of Review				
12	Accreditation	Ensure the issuer is accredited by NCQA or URAC, or is assumed to be working towards accreditation.	Met	Met	Met	see the auto-populated review
13	Program Attestation	Collect issuer attestation to meeting state marketing standards.	Met	mer	Met	results.
14	SHOP Tying	Confirm issuer compliance with SHOP Tying Provision; if noncompliant, confirm satisfactory justification has been provided.	Met	Met	Met	
	EHB	Ensure that the QHP template covers every benefit envered in the state benchmark and do a manual check for substitutions.	Met	Met	Met	1.
Ready	All Service Area Data / All ECP Data	Keview Summary Accreditation / Program Attestation / SHOP Tying , EHB	Bene Z Formulary Z Bene	ent cost Sharing [1] 4 [11]	III 130% 🕘	- ( - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

4. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the *Program Attestation* review.



### **IV: SHOP TYING REVIEW**

1. Use the SHOP Tying Provision (45 CFR §156.200(g)), the list of issuers subject to the SHOP Tying Provision, and the Provider SHOP Tying Justifications to complete the SHOP Tying review (in the Master Review Tool SHOP Tying tab) with Met or Not Met accordingly.

§ 156.200 QHP issuer participation standards.

(g) *Certification standard specific to a Federally-facilitated Exchange*. A Federally-facilitated Exchange may certify a QHP in the individual market of a Federally-facilitated Exchange only if the QHP issuer meets one of the conditions below:

(1) The QHP issuer also offers through a Federally-facilitated SHOP serving that State at least one small group market QHP at the silver level of coverage and one at the gold level of coverage as described in section 1302(d) of the Affordable Care Act;

(2) The QHP issuer does not offer small group market products in that State, but another issuer in the same issuer group offers through a Federally-facilitated SHOP serving that State at least one small group market QHP at the silver level of coverage and one at the gold level of coverage; or

(3) Neither the issuer nor any other issuer in the same issuer group has a share of the small group market, as determined by HHS, greater than 20 percent, based on the earned premiums submitted by all issuers in the State's small group market, under § 158.110 of this subchapter, on the reporting date immediately preceding the due date of the application for QHP certification.





2. Read the *SHOP Tying* Validation step descriptions carefully as subsequent *SHOP Tying* validation steps are conditional based on previous *SHOP Tying* validation steps' **Met** or **Not Met** compliance.



3. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the Validation Results (Met or Not Met) for the SHOP Tying requirements.

	_								
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	
1			SHOP Tying Re	view Process Steps					The worksheet determines
2						Validation Results			avanall compliance for
3					HIOS Issuer ID:	18637	30942	33674	overall compliance for
4	Review	Review step	Review description and procedure	Step description	Source	1			each provider based on the
					Based on the previous				Validation Results (Met or
					validation steps, the				Validation Results (INCC OF
					program attestation	Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met).
					review requirement for				
18					this issuer is:				



4. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *Shop Tying* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.

	🖀 💭 🖄 🗢 🕅 🖂 Master Review Tool Väjikpulam – Microsoft Excel										
File	Home Insert Page Layout Formul	as Data Review View Proposal Tools				) 🕘 📼 🗗 🗙					
Norm	al Page Page Break Custom Full Verws Screen Workbook Views	☑ Formula Bar     Q     Image: Coom to Selection     Image: Coo	∬ View Side by Side ▲ Synchronous Scrölling → Reset Window Position Window	Save Switch orkspace Windows * Macros Macros							
	E17 • fx =IF(E3<>""	, HLOOKUP(CONCATENATE(E3, ", ", E7), Formulary!\$F\$6:\$AZZ\$18, 13, FALSE), "	<sup>(iii</sup> )			*					
1	А	В	E	F	G	<b>^</b>					
1		Master Review Tool									
2											
3		HIOS Issuer ID:	18637	18637	18637	18637					
4		Type of Plan:	Standard Silver On Exchange Plan	Standard Silver On Exchange Plan	Standard Bronze On Exchange Plan	Standard I Exchange					
5		Plan ID:	18637VT0123458-01	18637VT0123459-01	18637VT0123460-01	18637VT					
			18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	18637- PlansBenefits.xlspr	18037- PlansPene					
6		Plan Benefit Workbook Name, Benefits Package:	Benefits Package 1	Benefits Package 1	Benefits Backage 1	Increase P					
0		Formulary ID.	VIFUUI Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list p					
o Q		Diug iisi ID. Network ID:	VTN001	VTN002	VTN001	VTN001					
10		Service area ID:	VTS001	VTS902	VTS011	VTS001					
11	Section/Standard	Function of Review									
12	Accreditation	Ensure the issuer is accredited by NCQA or URAC, or is assumed to be working towards accreditation.	wiet	Met	Met	T					
13	Program Attestation	Collect issuer attestation to meeting state marketing standards	Met	Met	Met	1					
14	SHOP Tying	Confirm issuer compliance with SHOP Tying Provision; if noncompliant, confirm satisfactory justification has been provided.	Met 🖌	Met	Mét	1					
	EHB	Ensure that the QHP temetate covers every benefit covered in the state benchmark and do a manual check for substitutions.	Met	Met	Met	I.					
Read	y	Review Summary Accreditation 2 Program Attestation 2 SHOP Tying 2 EHB	EUR / Formulary / Bene	enclost Sharing 🖉 📋 4 📖	130% -	-U					
-											

Open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated review results.

14

5. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the *Shop Tying* review.



## V: ESSENTIAL HEALTH BENEFIT (EHB) REVIEW

The *Essential Health Benefit* (EHB) review confirms that the plan being reviewed complies with standards for the provision of EHB consistent with Federal rules. States may use form filings and/or the **Plans and Benefits Template to complete the** *EHB* review.

1. For Validations 1-12, read the **Description** in row 6 and perform the 10 validation steps for all EHBs and all benefits that are used as an EHB substitution. Read the *EHB Review Validation Step Descriptions* in column D carefully as subsequent steps are conditional based on compliance.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	
1			Essential Health Ben					
2						Validation Results		
з					HIOS Issuer ID:	1863	7 18637	
						18637-PlansBenefits		
					Plan Benefit Workbook Name,	Benefits Package 1		• • •
4	Deview	Deview step	Review description and procedure	Step description	Source		Read the Descript	<b>101</b> 11 row 6
5	Review	Review step	Keview description and procedure	step description	Jource		and perform the 1	O validation
	Descripti	ion: Perform th	e following 10 validation steps fo	or all EHBs and all benefits which are	being used to substitute		steps for all EHBs	and all
	in for an	EHB. If all ber	efits pass this review, mark as m	et for the whole benefits package. If a	ny benefits do not pass,		henefits that are us	red as an
	mark as	not met. (Note	At times you are instructed to co	ontinue to the next review even after a	a benefit is shown to not			seu as an
	pass a re	eview. This is s	o that you are able to determine	is a benefit has multiple issues). After	completing these 10		EHB substitution.	
6	steps, be	e sure to go to	continue to step 11.					
7			EHB Rev	view Validation 1			<b>XC 11.1</b> C <sup>1</sup>	
			If a benefit is covered by the EHB				If all benefits pass	the review,
	1		benchmark, is it covered or substituted by				select Met in the c	lrop-down
8			the QHP?	If a bapafit in Papafita han EUP act to "Van"			menu: if any bene	fits do not
	1	a		and Is this Benefit Covered? Is set to "Covered"	Benefits, EHB, Is this Benefit		pass the review se	lect Not
9		_		then go to step 2.	Covered?		pass the review, so	
10				If a benefit in <i>Benefits</i> is one of the following:		K	Met.	
11				◆ "Basic Dental Care—Child"	1			
12				◆ "Orthodontia—Child"	1	_		
13				♦ "Major Dental Care—Child"				
14	1	ь		<ul> <li>"Dental Check-Up for Children"</li> </ul>	EHB Variance Reason,			
		_		and In this Report Covered? is not to "Not	Benefits			
				Covered" and the FHB Variance Reason is not				
				"Dental Only Plan Available", this benefit's				
	Pag	d tha EUR I	Pavian Validation Stan	requirement not met, and you do not need to				
15	Rea		eview valiaation Step	complete any more review steps for this benefit.				
16	- Des	criptions in sequent step	column D carefully as	If a benefit in Benefits is one of the following:		•		
17	out	omnlion of			1			
18	on c	compilance		♦ "Orthodontia—Adult"	1			
19		1		<ul> <li>"Major Dental Care—Adult"</li> </ul>	1			
20				<ul> <li>"Routine Eye Exam (Adult)"</li> </ul>	1			
21				<ul> <li>"Routine Dental Services (Adult)"</li> </ul>	Benefits, EHB , Is this Benefit			
14 ·		All ECP Data 🏑	Review Summary Accreditation	/ Program Attestation / SHOP Tying /	EHB / ECP / Formulary /	Benefit Cost Shari	ng 🏑 Meaningful Differe	r

15















INFORMATION NOT RELEASABLE TO THE PUBLIC UNLESS AUTHORIZED BY LAW: This information has not been publicly disclosed and may be privileged and confidential. It is for internal government use only and must not be disseminated, distributed, or copied to persons not authorized to receive the information. Unauthorized disclosure may result in prosecution to the full extent of the

law.







	<b>1</b> 3	- (°  -	_	_	_	Maste	r Review Tool_v3jkpixism	- Microsoft Excel	_				_				
Fi	le.	Home Ins	sert Page Layout Formu	ilas Data Re	eview View	Proposal Tools							a 3 =				
			Ruter	Formula Bar	QT			Split 🛄 View 610	ie by Sjac								
Nor	nal Pag	ne Page Break	k Custom Full Di Gridlin	ac 🗇 Handinar	Zoom 100	1% Zoom to Ne	w Atrange Freeze	Hide-	naus Scralling	Save Switch	Macros						
	Layo	out Preview	Views Screen	ies w neadings		Selection Win	dow All Panes * 🗐	UAhide Besel W	Indaw Pastilian W	orkspace Windows *	- T						
_		WOLKBOOK V	/lews	Show	20	om		Window			Macros						
-	2	10 R	, Je Wet	1	D	F	E	6	н	1		¥	1 1				
1	~	-	Essential Health Ber	nefits Review Proce	ess Steps			5			-	ġ.					
2						HICK	Validation Res	alts 37 18637	30942	30942	30942	3094	2 30942				
						Dis- Presion	18637-	18637-	30942- 3	0942- 309	342- 3	0942-	30942- 3	3674-			
4				7		Name, Benefits	Package: Benefits Package	1 Benefits Package 2	SG, alsm, Benefits S	G.xlsm, Benefits Ber	nsbenerits.xism, P nefits Package 1 B	enefits Package 2	2 Benefits Package 3 S	G.xlsm,			
5	leview	Review step	Heview description and	If Explanation for a b	scription penefit in <i>Banalit</i> :	Source	e							_			
	8	c		contains text referen	icing an annual d I's requirement is	ollar Dot Benefits, Explan	*										
92	_			met. Go to step 8d.	nofit in Receive										_		
	8	d		contains text referen	icing an annual d	ollar Benefits, Evolus	ian				_				To cc	mplete EHE	8 Review
93		1000		met. Go to step 9.	t s requirement is	not		1 P				_			Valid	lation 8a-8d	9a-9c and
94	-	-	If <i>EHB</i> = "Yes" for a benefit in	eview Validation 3			-	T 1					T T	-	10	101 00 00,	
			Benefits and EHB Variance Reason is not "Substituted." or												10a-1	10b, use the 1	torm
	3		EHB Variance Reason is "Additional EHB Benefit " confirm												filing	s or Plans ar	nd Benefits
95	-		that no lifetime dollar limitations exist	t If / m²//n² for a boo	ofitio Readity										Tom	latas data fr	om tha
	9	а		contains the string "[	Dollars per lifetime	" Benefits, Limit U	het -								Temp	Jales uata II	
96				step 9b.	nentis not met. G	50 to								1	Benej	f <mark>its, Limit U</mark> r	ıit,
	9	6	1 J	contains text referen	cing a lifetime do	s Ilar - Banatiks Evolan	alian								Fych	usions and	
97	Ŷ,			limitation, this benefit met. Go to step 9c.	t's requirement is	not Denemo, Explan											
		1.0	1	If Excitation for a ber contains text referen	nefit in <i>Benefits</i> icing a lifetime do	lar Parto Entre	au			_					Explo	ination.	
98	2			limitation, this benefit met. Go to step 10.	t's requirement is	not Denemo: Lindius	0/2										
99	-		EHBR	eview Validation 10							*						
	10		"Covered", is the Explanations and														
100	-		Evolusions acceptable?	If Explanation for a be	enefit in Benefits		-							-			
14 4	FH	. All Service	Area Data 🖉 All ECP Data 🛒	Review Summary	information that Accredita	tion Program A	Attestation . SHOP Ty	ing EHB ECD	Formulary & Ben	efit Cost Sharing				1			
Rea	dy						-					■□ <u>□</u> 75%	Θ-0	÷.			
1		А		В	С	D	F	F	G	н			1		ĸ		1
50			Bonofit In	formation			_			Gen	or Info	mation		1		-	
55	_		Denentin	Tormation	-			Quantitative	P	Gen		maaon					
			Benefits		EHB	State	Is this Benefit	L imit on	Limit	Limit Un	it Minim	um Stav	Exclusions	Explai	nation	EHB Variance	
60						Mandate	Covered?	Service	Quantity	/		,		(text	field)	Reason	
	Pr	rimary C	are Visit to Treat a	n Injury or													1
61		-	Illness		Yes		Covered										
			Specialist Visit														
62			specialist visit		Yes		Covered										
	0	Other Pra	actitioner Office Vis	it (Nurse,													
63		P	Physician Assistant	:)	Yes		Covered										
	Ou	itpatient	Facility Fee (e.g., A	mbulatory			_										
64			Surgery Center)		Yes	Yes	Covered							Oursell	-		
	0	outpatien	nt Surgery Physicial	n/Surgical	V		0							Quantit	ative		
65			Services		Yes		Covered							limit un	ITS		
14	4 F	M Ben	efits Package 1	Cost Sha	re Varian	ces 1 / E	enefits Packag	a 2 / Cost	Share Varia	ncesi 4						▶ [	1













	А	В	С		D		E	F			
1			Essential Healt	n Benefits	Review Process Step	S		]			
2								Validation Results			
3							HIOS Issuer ID:	18637	T	o comple	ete EHB Review
								18637-PlansBenefits,	V	alidatior	<i>i 12</i> , use the form
4						Pla	n Benefit workbook Name, Benefits Package	Benefits Package 1	fi	ings or	Plans and Benefits
5	Review	Review step	Review description and proce	dure	Step description	n	Source		Т	mplata	doto for Ranafita
123		. ·	E	HB Review Va	alidation 12				1		Suala IOI Denejiis
124	12		Confirm "Habilitation Services" cove	erage.	+				ar	d Is this	S Benefit covered?
125	12	а		lf <i>ls th</i> *Habili	nis Benefit Covered? is "No itation Services," mark as n	t Covered" for ot met.	is Benefit Covered?	-			
н	Тин	🕹 All ECP Data 🏒	🤇 Review Summary 🏑 Accredit	ation 🏑 Pr	ogram Attestation	SHOP Tying 📜 EHE	S ∕ ECP ∕I ◀ III				
1		٨	B	C	D	F	F	G		4	
50		0	Bonofit Informativ					0		Cou	
22			Benent mormatic			-	Quantitativo			Ger	
60		Be	enefits	EHB	State Mandate	Is this Benefi Covered?	Limit on Service	Limit Quantity	Limi	t Unit	
61	Primary	Care Visit to	Treat an Injury or Illness	Yes		Covered					
62		Speci	ialist Visit	Yes		Covered					
63	Oth	er Practitione Physicia	er Office Visit (Nurse, an Assistant)	Yes		Covered					
64	Outpa	atient Facility Surge	Fee (e.g., Ambulatory ry Center)	Yes	Yes	Covered					
4 4	► N B	enefits Packag	ge 1 Cost Share Variances	1 / Bene	efits Package 2 🏑 Co	ost Share Vail 4					





- 2. To complete *EHB Review Validations 13-15*, use the General Issuer Attestation.
- 3. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the Validation Results (Met or Not Met) for the EHB requirements.





25

3. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *EHB* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.

Neme       Insert       Page Layout       Formulas       Data       Review       View       Proposal Tool:         Neme       Page	
Image: Page Page Break Cutom Full Layout Promula Bar Servit, Jayout Protocol. Straining       Image: Page Break Cutom Full Control Straining       Image: Pagee Break Cutom Full Control Straining	
C18       Image: C18       Image: C18       C       D       E       F         Master Review Tool       C       D       E       F         Master Review Tool       C       D       E       F         Master Review Tool       Validation Results         HIOS Issuer ID       18637       18637       18637       18637         Plan Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan         Plan Benefit Workbook Name, Benefits Package       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1         Plan Benefit Workbook Name, Benefits Package       VEFD01       VEFD01         Correlia UIC VIED01       VIED01       VIED01	
C     D     E     F       Master Review Tool     Validation Results       HIOS Issuer ID     18637     18637       Standard Bronze Off     Standard Bronze Off       Standard Bronze Off     Standard Bronze Off       Type of Plan     Exchange Plan       Plan ID     18637/T0123456-00       18637     18637       Plan ID     18637/T0123456-01       18637     18637       PlansBenefits xism,     PlansBenefits xism,       PlansBenefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1	
Master Review Tool       Validation Results         HIOS Issuer ID:       18637       18637       18637         Standard Bronze Off       Standard Bronze Off       Standard Bronze Off       Standard Silver Off         Standard Bronze Off       Standard Bronze Off       Standard Silver Off       Standard Silver Off         Plan ID:       18637/T0123456-00       18637/T0123457-00       18637/T0123457-01         Bisol Plan       Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan         Plan ID:       18637/T0123456-00       18637/T0123457-00       18637/T0123457-01         Plan Benefits Package       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1         Plan Benefits Workbook Name, Benefits Package       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1         Formulau ID:       VITED014       VITED014       VITED014       VITED014	
Validation Results         HIOS Issuer ID: 18637       18637       18637       18637         Standard Bronze Off       Standard Bronze Off       Standard Silver Off       Standard Silver Off         Type of Plan       Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan         Plan ID:       18637VT0123456-00       18637VT0123457-00       18637VT0123457-01         18637       18637       18637         Plan Benefits Package       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1         Plan Benefits Workbook Name, Benefits Package       Denefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1	
HIOS Issuer ID.       18637       18637       18637       18637         Standard Bronze Off       Standard Bronze Off       Standard Bronze On       Standard Silver Off       Standard Silver Off         Type of Plan       Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan       Exchange Plan         Plan ID.       18637/T0123456-00       18637/T0123457-00       18637.       18637.         18637       18637.       18637.       18637.       18637.         Plan ID.       18637.       18637.       18637.       18637.         Plan Benefits Package.       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1         Plan Benefits Workbook Name, Benefits Package.       UTED01       VTED01       VTED01       VTED01	
Standard Bronze Off     Standard Bronze Off     Standard Bronze Off     Standard Silver Off     Standard Silver Off       Type of Plan     Exchange Plan     Exchange Plan     Exchange Plan     Exchange Plan       Plan ID     18637VT0123456-00     18637VT0123456-00     18637VT0123457-00       18637     18637     18637     18637       Plan Benefits Package     Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1	
Type of Plan     Exchange Plan     Exchange Plan     Exchange Plan     Exchange Plan       Plan     Plan     18637/VT0123456-00     18637/VT0123457-01     18637/VT0123457-01       18637     18637     18637     18637     18637-       PlansBenefits xism,     PlansBenefits xism,     PlansBenefits xism,     PlansBenefits xism,       Plan Benefit Workbook Name, Benefits Package     Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1	
Plan Ib: 18637/T0123456-00 18637/T0123457-01 18637/T0123457-01 18637/T0123457-01 18637/T0123457-01 18637- 18637- 18637- 18637- 18637- 18637- 18637- PlansBenefits xism, PlansBenefits xism, PlansBenefits xism, PlansBenefits xism, PlansBenefits xism, PlansBenefits xism, PlansBenefits Package 1 Benefits Package 1 Bene	
1863/-     1863/-     1863/-     1863/-       PlansBenefits xism,     PlansBenefits xism,     PlansBenefits xism,     PlansBenefits xism,       Plan Benefit Workbook Name, Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1       Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1     Benefits Package 1	
Drug list ID: Drug list not inputed	
Network ID: VTN001 VTN001 VTN001 TX001	
Service area ID: VIS001 VIS002 VIS002 Open the Master Review	
Section standard Function or Review	
EHB     Met     Met       15     15	w
16 ECP Ensure issuers have ECPs, where available, that meet the policy standards. Not Met Not Met results.	
Formulary     Ensure compliance with EHBs and check for discrimination by counting drugs in each therapeutic category and class.     Met     Met     Met	
Benefit Cost Sharing     Check only in-network out-of-pocket maximum and small group deductible costs for individual and family EHB coverage against the IRS annual dollar limit, ensure the cost sharing variations and catastrophic plans meet all requirements.     Met     Not Met	
Meaningful Difference         Identify if an issuer submits four or more Others of the same plan type and metal level in a county and review further for network and deductible onliferences.         Not Met         Met	
H + + + M All ECP Data Review Summary Contaction Program Attestation SHOP Tying / EHB / ECP Formulary Benefit Cost Sharing / Meaningful Difference / AV () + W + Ready   III 125% - C +	

4. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the *EHB* review.



## VI: ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY PROVIDER (ECP) REVIEW

The ECP review process in the Master Review Tool ensures issuers have ECPs, where available, that meet the FFM minimum expectation percentage (recommended to be at least 10 percent of available ECPs) and the safe harbor standard percentage (recommended to be at least 20 percent of available ECPs).

1. Open the Qualified Health Plan Application State Review Tools folder and run the ECP Tool for all the issuers' plans you wish to evaluate. You must run the ECP Tool only one issuer at a time, so be sure to save each completed ECP Tool with a unique filename, e.g., by issuer ID. For more information on running the ECP Tool, see section II. ECP Tool in the *OHP Application State Review Tools User Guide: Loading the Data* 





3. If you decide to use the ECP stand-alone tool, review the validation steps in the Master Review Tool *ECP* tab to better understand the logic behind the ECP Tool or to see where you can submit justifications.







4. Open the ECP Tool *Output* tab to see the issuer's plans you wish to review.





29

5. Using the data in the ECP Tool *Output* tab, go to the Master Review Tool *ECP* tab and use the drop-down menus in the *Validation Results* columns to indicate if an issuer's plan has met the minimum expectation percentage and the safe harbor standard percentage.





5. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the Validation Results (Met or Not Met) for the ECP requirements.



The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the *Validation Results* (Met or Not Met).



31

6. Once you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *ECP* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* to see the auto-populated results.



7. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the ECP review.



## VII: FORMULARY (DRUG) REVIEW

The Formulary review process in the Master Review Tool ensures compliance with EHB and checks for discrimination by counting drugs in each USP category and class.

 Open the Qualified Health Plan Application State Review Tools folder and run the Drug Tool for the drug lists you wish to evaluate. You must copy/paste the information from each issuer's Category Class Count Report one at a time, so be sure to save the Drug Tool after each evaluation with a unique filename, e.g., by issuer ID. For more information on running the Drug Tool, see section VI. Formulary (Drug) Tool in the <u>OHP</u> <u>Application State Review Tools User Guide: Loading the Data</u>.



2. Open the Drug Tool *Output* tab for the issuer's drug list you wish to evaluate.

Total Number of Classes wit	h the Count Standard Not Met		18			
					]	
Category	Class	Drug List Count	Benchmark Count	Count Standard Met?   ▼		
Analgesics	Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs	19	20	No		
Analgesics	Opioid Analgesics, Long-acting	11	11	Yes		
Analgesics	Opioid Analgesics, Short-acting	11	11	Yes		Open the completed Drug
Anesthetics	Local Anesthetics	3	3	Yes		Open the completed Drug
Anti-Addiction/Substance Abuse Treatment Agents	Alcohol Deterrents/Anti-craving	3	3	Yes		Tool <i>Output</i> tab to see the
Anti-Addiction/Substance Abuse Treatment Agents	Opioid Antagonists	3	3	Yes		to review
Anti-Addiction/Substance Abuse Treatment Agents	Smoking Cessation Agents	3	3	Yes		
Antibacterials	Aminoglycosides	3	9	Yes	1	
Antibacterials	Antibacterials, Other	19	20	No		
Antibacterials	Beta-lactam, Cephalosporins	18	18	Yes	1	
Antibacterials	Beta-lactam, Other	5	5	Yes	1	
Antibacterials	Beta-lactam Penicillins	12	12	Yes	1	
Antibacterials	Macrolides	5	5	Yes		
Antibacterials	Quinolones	7	8	No		
structions / Start Sheet / Output / 😏 /				•	]	



33

3. Using the data in the Drug Tool *Output* tab, go to the Master Review Tool *Formulary* tab, and use the drop-down menus to indicate if an issuer's drug list has met the formulary requirement.




4. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the Validation Results (Met or Not Met) for the Formulary requirements.





35

5. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's drug list in the Master Review Tool *Formulary* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.



6. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the Formulary review.



## VIII: COST SHARING REVIEW

- Open the Qualified Health Plan Application State Review Tools folder and run the Cost Sharing Tool for all the plans you wish to evaluate. For more
  information on running the Cost Sharing Tool, see section V. Cost Sharing Tool in the <u>OHP Application State Review Tools User Guide: Loading
  the Data</u>.
- 2. If you decide to use the Benefit Cost Sharing stand-alone tool, review the validation steps in the Master Review Tool *Benefit Cost Sharing* tab to better understand the logic behind the Cost Sharing Tool or to see where you can submit justifications.
- 3. Use the *drop-down menu* in cell D4 to select how you will perform the review.



Use the *drop-down menu* in cell D4 to select how you will perform the review





4. Open the Cost Sharing Tool Summary Plan Level tab to see the issuer's plans you wish to review.

X I · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	Cost Sharing Tool_v2 5	2813 xism - Microsoft Excel				
File Home Insert Pa	age Layout Formulas	Data Review	View Proposal Tool	5			a 🕐 🗖 🛪	
Paste Arial T Paste Arial T Clipboard Is Font	10 · A · A = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	= ≫ 副 = 律律 國 Alignment	Wrap Text' Genera Nerge & Center - \$ +	1 * Condition % • * 0 0 Condition Formatting	ial Format Cell g= as:Table = Styles = Styles	elete Format → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →	Sort & Find & Filter - Select + Editing	
A1 - (-	fx HIOS Plan ID						÷ ~	
A	D	E	F	G	Н	1 J		Open the completed Cost
HIOS Plan ID 1 (Standard Component)	Market Coverage	Level of Coverage	Out-Of-Pocket-Max	Small Group Deductible	Cost Sharing Reduction	Catastrophic		Sharing Tool Summary Plan
11       19637/VT0123465         12       18637/VT0123467         14       18637/VT0123467         14       18637/VT0123467         15       18637/VT0123467         16       18637/VT0123469         16       18637/VT0123470         17       18637/VT0123471         18       18637/VT0123472         19       18637/VT0123473         20       18637/VT0123474         21       18637/VT0123476         23       18637/VT0123476         23       18637/VT0123476         24       18637/VT0123476         25       18637/VT9876543         26       18637/VT9876545         26       18637/VT9876545         26       18637/VT9876548         29       18637/VT9876551         30       16637/VT9876551         31       18637/VT9876551         32       18637/VT9876551         33       16637/VT9876554         34       16637/VT9876554         36       16657/VT9876554	Individual Individual	Gold Platinum Platinum Platinum Silver Silver Catastrophic Catastrophic Catastrophic Catastrophic Catastrophic Catastrophic Catastrophic Silver Gold Bronze Silver Gold Bronze Silver Gold Bronze Silver Gold Bronze Silver Gold Bronze Silver Gold Bronze Silver Gold Bronze Silver Silver Gold Bronze Silver Silver Gold Bronze Silver Silver Gold Bronze Silver Silver Gold Bronze Silver Silver Gold Bronze Silver Silver Gold Bronze Silver Silver Silver Silver Silver Silver Gold Bronze Silver Silve	Incomplete	Not Applicable Not Applicable	Not Met Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Met	Not Applicable Incomplete Incomplete Incomplete Incomplete Not Applicable Not App		<i>Level</i> tab to see the issuer's plans you wish to review.
Ready	Input Summary Plan	Level Out-Of-Poc	ket Max Small Group	Deductible Cost Sharing	Reduction Catastrophic	Nat Anglicabla		



- 5. Using the data in the Cost Sharing Tool *Summary Plan Level* tab, go to the Master Review Tool *Benefit Cost Sharing* tab and use the drop-down menus in the *Validation Results* columns to indicate if an issuer's plan has met the benefit cost-sharing requirements. Please note this does not include the process steps/review for those plans intending to only offer coverage to individuals (self-only).
  - a. Leave a validation cell blank if any review was not applicable to a plan.
  - b. If the OOPM review is **Not Met**, got to step two.
  - c. If the SGD review is **Not Met** AND the Cost Sharing Tool *Summary Plan Level* tab indicated that a justification check was necessary, go to step three. Otherwise, you can skip all other validation steps.







7. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the *Validation Results* (Met or Not Met) for the *Cost Sharing* requirements.





6. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *Benefit Cost Sharing* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.

X	19+0 F	Master Review Tool_v2.xlsm -	Microsoft Excel				
File	Home Insert Page Layout For	mulas Data Review View Proposal Tools				~ 3 ⊂ 6 ×	
Norma	Page Page Break Custom Full Layout Preview Views Screen	r 🗹 Formula Bar Illines 🖉 Headings Zoom 100% Zoom to Selection New Arrange Freeze Window All Panes Units	Uiew Side by Side Uit Synchronous Scralling Ide III Reset Window Positio	Save Switch M Workspace Windows *	acros		
-	Workbook views	show 200m	Window	M	acros	127	
-	C18 • Ja = IF(C3<	"", HLOOKUP(CS, 'Benefit Cost Sharing'!\$F\$27:\$AZ2\$139, 113, FALSE), "")	1			•	
			C	D	E	F	
		Master Review Tool					
			Validation Results				
		HIOS Issuer ID:	18637	18637	18637	18637	
			Standard Bronze Off	Standard Bronze On	Standard Silver Off	Standard Silver On	
		Type of Plan:	Exchange Plan	Exchange Plan	Exchange Plan	Exchange Plan	
		Panu	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	18637- PlansBenefits.xlsm,	
		Plan Benefit Workbook Name, Benefits Package.	Benefits Package 1	Benefits Package 1	Benefits Package 1	Benefits Package 1	
		Formulary ID:	VTF001	VTF001	VTF001	VTF001	
		Drug list ID:	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	
		Network ID:	VTN001	VTN001	VTN001	VTN001 1=	
-		Service area ID:	VIS001	VISU01	V1S002	V1S002	
	Section/Standard	Function of Review					
15	EHB	the state benchmark and do a manual check for substitutions.	Met	Met	Met	Met	
16	ECP	Ensure issuers have ECPs, where available, that meet the policy standards.		Not Met		Not Met	
17	Formulary	Ensure compliance with EHBs and check for discrimination by counting drugs in each therapeutic category and class.	Met	Met	Met	Met	
18	Benefit Cost Sharing	Check only in-network out-of-pocket maximum and small group deductible costs for individual and family EHB coverage against the IRS annual dollar limit, ensure the cost sharing variations and catastrophic plans meet all requirements.	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	
19	Meaningful Difference	Identify if an issuer submits four or more QHPs of the same plan type and metal level in a county and review further for network and deductible differences.		Not Met		Met	Open the Master Review Tool <i>Review Summary</i> tab
14 4 3	M All ECP Data Review Summary	Program Accessation SHOP Tying / EHB / ECP / Formular	Benefit Cost Sharing	Meaningful Difference AV			to see the auto-populated
Ready	f I					u 125% (-) (+)	to see the adto-populated
							review results.

7. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the *Benefit Cost Sharing* review.



## IX: MEANINGFUL DIFFERENCE REVIEW

The *Meaningful Difference* review process in the Master Review Tool reviews an issuer's QHPs of the same plan type and metal level in a county for substantial differences.

- Open the Qualified Health Plan Application State Review Tools folder and run the Meaningful Difference Tool for all the plans you wish to evaluate. For more information on running the Meaningful Difference Tool, see section III. Meaningful Difference Tool in the <u>QHP Application State Review</u> <u>Tools User Guide: Loading the Data</u>.
- 2. If you decide to use the Meaningful Difference stand-alone tool, review the validation steps in the Master Review Tool *Meaningful Difference* tab to better understand the logic behind the Meaningful Difference Tool or to see where you can submit justifications.
- 3. Use the *drop-down menu* in cell D4 to select how you will perform the review.



4. Open the Meaningful Difference Tool *Summary* tab to see the issuer's plans you wish to review.

	Α	В	С	
	<b>HIOS Issuer</b>	HIOS Plan ID	Meaningful Difference	
	ID	(Standard	Requirement Met?	
1	-	Component)	-	Onen the Meenin shul
21	18637	18637VT0123475	Met	Open the Meaningful
22	18637	18637VT0123476	Met	Difference Tool Summary
23	18637	18637VT9876543	Not Met	tab to see the issuer's
24	18637	18637VT9876544	Met	plans you wish to
25	18637	18637VT9876545	Met	evaluate.
26	18637	18637VT9876546	Not Met	
27	18637	18637VT9876547	Met	
28	18637	18637VT9876548	Not Met	
29	18637	18637VT9876549	Met	
30	18637	18637VT9876550	Met	
31	18637	18637VT9876551	Met	
32	18637	18637VT9876552	Met	
	🕨 🕨 📈 Ser	vice Area Input 🚶 Sui	mmary / Comp 🛛 4 💷 🕨	J



42

5. Using the data in the Meaningful Difference Tool *Summary* tab, go to the Master Review Tool *Meaningful Difference* tab and use the drop-down menus to indicate if an issuer's plan has met the meaningful difference requirement.





-		В	C	n	F	1 V	1 V		
1	m	U	Meaningful Differer	ce Beview Process Steps	L	v	W		
2			ricaningra birrerer						
3 1	lhe meaning	aful difference uslidation (	can be performed using a separat	rate Excel tool, or manually through validation	n stens				
4	ine meaning	Select how this form	ularu review will be performed:	Through the meaningful difference tool					
5		If using the meaningful d	lifference tool, proceed to ren.	13					
0		If using the meaningful u	interence toor, proceed to row	IJ.				_	
7		ir using the manual revie	w, proceed to row 50.		HIOS Issuer ID:	10027	10007	1001	
0					HIOSISSUEI D: Plas ID:	10007	10037 10037VT0132472	100-	
0					FiamD:	VTE002	VTE002	100.	
10					Formulary ID:	VTN001	VTF003	VT.	
10 11 <b>6</b>			( ) D'((		Carries and ID.	VTS001	VT9002	VT C	
11 <mark>-</mark>	Deview	g the stand-alone Meanin Douiou stop	Baujan deceription and	Stan decarintion	Tool Data Inputs	13001	13002	111	
	neview	neview step	Newley description and	Step description	1001 Data inputs				If meaningful difference is
13			Meaningrui Dirference Revie	Stand-Atone Tool Validation Step 1	Disc & Disc (he had also (data in a set				Not Met proceed to
			Do the meaningful difference review	Upen the excerning and follow the directions in the workbook to run the tool for all of the plans. Then using	Pian α Benerits template (data import available from this tool)				riot filet, proceed to
			Difference Tool" from the zin folder to	the tool's output, manually select whether the meaningful	available nonn (his (obi)				validation step 2, and follo
	1		run the tool for all of the plans being	difference requirement has been met. If it was met, mark		Met	Not Met		
			evaluated.	met and leave the other steps blank. If it was not met, go					the validation step
14				to step 2.				U -	descriptions in cell D17 for
15			Meaningful Difference Revie	w Stand-Alone Tool Validation Step 2			Mat Nat Mat		descriptions in cen D17 10
			For plans that have not passed						EHB benefits difference (see
			meaningful difference, determine						
	2		couered FHB benefits (Note this sten						below).
			is not covered by the stand-alone						
16			tool.)						
				Check to see whether any plans in the same cluster					
				(meaning plans that are not different different from each			Not Met		It applicable, proceed to st
				other Jused substitution for any of the EHBs. Every plan					2 and fallow the validation
				different. Plans with the exact same set of EHB	HINS ISSUELIN HINS Plan IN IS this				5, and follow the validation
	2	a		substitutions in the same cluster are not meaningfullu	Benefit Covered? All EHB Benefits				step descriptions in cells
				different. Remaining plans are not meaningfully different.					step descriptions in cens
				Mark met if the plan proves to be meaningfully different					D20-21 for meaningful
17				and leave the remaining step blank. Mark not met if the					1:00
10			Messingful Difference Revie	plan is not meaingfully different and go to step 3. w Stand, Alone Tool Validation Step 2					difference justification.
10			Inteaningron Dimerence Nevie	wotand Hione Tool Yalidation Step 5				-	
	3		For any plans that are not meaningfully		Justification				
19	÷		different, check for justification.						
				Check to see whether justification was submitted if any of					
	3	a -		the plans were not meaningfully different. If all plans are	+		Not Met		
20				meaningfully different, leave this step blank.		4			
				If justification was not submitted or is insufficient, the plans					
21	3	Þ		nas a meaningful difference issues. Mark as not met. If the justification is sufficient, mark as met					
				Justinoation is sumcient, mark as met.					



- 6. Using the Benefits template, check to see whether any plan in the same cluster, (a cluster being a group of plans that are not different from each other), used a substitution for any of the EHBs.
  - a. Every plan with a unique set of EHB substitutions is meaningfully different.
  - b. Plans with the exact same set of EHB substitutions in the same cluster are not meaningfully different.
  - c. Remaining plans are not meaningfully different.





7. Mark **Met** if the plan proves to be meaningfully different and leave the remaining blank. Mark **Not Met** if the plan is not meaningfully different and proceed to step 3.





46

8. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the *Validation Results* (Met or Not Met) for the *Meaningful Difference* requirements.





9. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *Meaningful Difference* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.



10. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the *Meaningful Difference* review.



Read the *Step* 

# X: ACTUARIAL VALUE (AV) REVIEW

The Actuarial Value (AV) review verifies that QHPs meet applicable AV standards, consistent with Federal rulemaking.







	А	В		С	D	)	E	F	
1				Actuarial Value	Review Process Steps				
2							HIOS Issuer ID:	Validation Results	
3							Plan ID:	18637VT0123456	
5	Review	Review step	Review	description and procedure	Step des	cription	Source		
13 14	2		Determine whe unique plan des	ther this plan is identified as a sign.	Validation 2 For all plans, identify from the P whether the issuer identified the design.	lans & Benefits template plan as navieg a unique plan			To complete Valida
15	2	а			If Unique Plan Design? = "No," t go to step 3. If "Yes," then go to	then leave this step blank and 2a.	Unique Pran <u>Design?</u> (from the Plans & Benefits template)		2a, use Plans and Benefits Templates
16	2	b			Check supporting documents to screenshot of the stand-alone A there is a screenshot of the star Calculator, proceed to step 2c. Leave this step blank.	o determine if there a vctuarial Value calculator. If nd-alone Actuarial Value If there is not, go to step 4.	Supporting documents		Benefits Package ta data from Unique F Design?
17	2	с			Value Calculator to ensure that Issuer Actuarial Value field. If no equal, mark as met and proceed	it is equal to the value in the ot equal, mark as met. If d to step 4.	Issuer Actuarial Value , supporting documents		To complete Valida
4	• • • / F	ormulary / Ben	efit Cost Sharing	Meaningful Difference AV	Non-Discrimination / Service A	rea / Network Adequacy / (	OOPMI 4		2c, use Plans and
		Н		I	J		K	K	<b>L</b> Benefits Templates
8	New/Existing Plan?* Plan Type* Level of Coverage* Unique Plan Design?* QHP/Non								tab data from Issue Actuarial Value
9	Ne	N		PPO	Silver	No		Both	
1	0 Nev	N		EPO	Gold	No		Both	
1	1 Nev	N		HMO	Gold	No		Both	
1	2 Nev	N		PPO	Silver	No		Both	
1	3 Nev	N		HMO	Platinum	No		Both	
1	4 Nev	N		PPO	Silver	No		Both	
M	+	Bene	efits Packa	age 1 🏑 Cost Shar	e Variances 1 📜 B	enefits 🛛 4 👘			



	А	В	С		D	E	F					
1			Actuarial	/alue Review Proces	s Steps							
2							Validation Results					
3						HIOS Issuer ID	18637					
4						Plan ID	18637VT0123456					
5	Review	Review step	Review description and procedure		Step description	Source						
13				Validation 2		1						
14	2		Determine whether this plan is identified as a unique plan design.	a For all plans, iden whether the issue design.	tify from the Plans & Benefits template r identified the plan as having a unique plan			To con	plete Validation			
15	2	а		If <i>Unique Plan Des</i> go to step 3. If "Ye	sign? = "No," then leave this step blank and es," then go to 2a.	Unique Plan Design? (from the Plans & Benefits template)		2c, use Benefit	Plans and Templates			
16	2	b		Check supporting screenshot of the there is a screens Calculator, procee Leave this step bl	documents to determine if there a stand-alone Actuarial Value calculator. If hot of the stand-alone Actuarial Value d to step 2c. If there is not, go to step 4. ank.	Supporting documents		Cost SI tab data	hare Variances a from Issuer			
17	2 c			Check the Actuar Value Calculator t Issuer Actuarial V	Check the Actuarial Value field of the stand-alone Actuarial Value Calculator to ensure that it is equal to the value in the <i>Issuer Actuarial Value</i> field. If not equal, mark as met. If equal mark as met and proceed to step 4			heiman	iui vuines.			
±/  4 4	► N ZI	Formulary / Ben	efit Cost Sharing / Meaningful Difference	AV Non-Discrimination	Service Area / Network Adequacy /	OOPMI 4		•				
	2 Cost Sharing Reduction Information											
	н с	IIOS Plan II (Standard Component Variant)	)* Plan Marketing • Name*	Level of Coverage* (Metal Level)	CSR Variation Type*	lssuer Actuarial Value	AV Calculator Output Number*	Medical & Drug Deductibles Integrated?*				
	3094	42VT01234	56-01	Bronze	Standard Bronze On Exchar	ige Plan	58.00%	Yes				
6	5 3094	42VT01234	56-02	Bronze	Zero Cost Sharing Plan Vari	ation	100.00%	Yes				
14	( <b>4</b> ))	Cost Share Variances 1 Benefits Package 2 Cost Shill 4										



										F	
1		_	A	ctuarial Value	Review Pro	cess Steps			_		
2										Validation Results	
3									HIOS Issuer IE	): 18637	
4								Plan ID	D: 18637VT0123456		
5	Review	Review step	Review description and	procedure	Step description				Source		
18				V	alidation 3						
	-		Review of AV Calculator Output N	lumber to verify							
10	3		whether it is in the correct range	for the non-							
			unique benent design plan.		If Metal Level	If Metal Level equals "Platinum" verify that the AV Calculator Metal Level AV					
					Output Number is between 88% and 92%. If standard is met, Calculator Output						
	3	3 a				proceed to disposition. If standard is not met, mark as not Number, CSR Variation					
20					met. If standard is met, mark as met. Leave remaining steps Type (from the Plans &						
Image: Stank and Stank an											
7	,	M	Plan lo	lentifiers 🗲	C	Г	0	п		J	
				•							
	HIOS F	Plan ID* Diam	Marketing Name* HIOS Product		Notwork ID*	Service Area	Formulary ID*	New/Existin	g Dian Tunot	Lough of Couprage*	
	(Standard C	Component)	ID*	neib	Network ID	ID*	Formulary ID	Plan?*	Fian Type	Level of Coverage	
8											
9	30942	V19876543 Fake	Plan 1 30942V1987 Plan 2 20042VT987	VI	N001	VTS001	VTF002	New	PPO EBO	Silver	
10	30942	VT9876545 Fake	Plan 3 30942VT987	VI	N001	VTS002	VTF002	New	HMO	Gold	
4 4	▶ N Ber	nefits Package 1	Cost Share Variances 1 Benefits P	ackage 2 Cost S	hare Variances 2	2 / Benefits Pac	kag I 4				
										, K	
2							Cost Sh	aring Red	uction Informa	tion	
2	-								AV	Medical 9	
	HIC	OS Plan ID*	Diam Manhatin a	Level o	f			Issuer	AV	Medical &	
	_ (	Standard	Plan Marketing	Coverag	le* C	SR Variatio	n Type*	Actuarial	Calculator	Drug	
_	Co	Mariant •	Name*	(Metal Les	vel)			Value	Output	Deductibles	
3		• arrancj		-					Number*	Integrated?*	
_				_	_			-			
5	5 30942VT0123456-01 Bronze				Stand	dard Bronze	e On Exchan	ge Plan	58.00%	Yes	
6	30942	2VT0123456-	02	Bronze	Zero	Cost Sharir	ng Plan Varia	ition	100.00%	Yes	
I.	1 b bl Cost Share Variances 1 Repetits Package 2 Cost Shill 4 III										

To complete Validation 3, use Plans and Benefits Templates Cost Sharing Variance tab data from Level of Coverage Metal Level, CSR Variation Type, and AV Calculator Output Number.

51



	A B C D E								E	F		
1			Ac	tuarial Value	Review Pro	cess Steps						
2										Validation Results		
3									HIOS Issuer ID:	18637		
4									Plan ID:	18637VT0123456		
5	Review	Review step	Review description and pr	ocedure	Step description				Source			
25				١	alidation 4							
			Review of Issuer Actuarial Value to	o verify if it is in								
26	4		the correct range for the unique ber	nefit design								
20			pian.						Issuer Actuarial Value	-		
If Metal Level equals "Platinum," verify that the /							Issuer	Metal Level, CSR				
	4	а			Actuarial Valu	e is between 80	3% and 92%. If st lard is mot mark	andard is not	Variation Type (from the	·		
	step 5.											
27	7.						. /		template)			
• •	Pointidary 2 Benetic Cost sharing 2 Meaningful Difference AV Non-Discrimination 2 Service Area 2 Network Adequacy 2 OOPMI 1											
	A B C D E F G H I									1		
7	Plan identifiers											
	(Standard (	Component) Plan	Marketing Name* ID*	HPID	Network ID*	ID*	Formulary ID*	Plan?*	<sup>rg</sup> Plan Type*	Level of Coverage*		
8												
9	30942	VT9876543 Fake	Plan 1 30942VT987	V	TN001	VTS001	VTF002	New	PPO	Silver		
10	30942	VT9876544 Fake	Plan 2 30942VT987	V	TN001	VTS001	VTF002	New	EPO	Gold		
11	30942	VT9876545 Fake	Plan 3 30942VT987	V	TN002	VTS002	VTF001	New	HMO	Gold		
• •	▶ ► Ber	nefits Package 1 🏒	Cost Share Variances 1 Benefits Pa	ckage 2 🖉 Cost S	Share Variances 2	2 🖉 Benefits Pac	kag 🛙 🖣			→ <b>「</b>		
			_				Contra			K		
2							Cost Sha	ппд кеа	uction informat	ion		
-									AV	Medical &		
		US Plan ID	Plan Marketing	Level of	of			Issuer	Calculator	Drug		
		(Standard	Namet	Coverage	je* 🚺 C	SR Variatio	n Type* 🛛 🗋	Actuarial	Output	Deductibles		
		Variant)	Name.	(Metal Le	vel)			Value	Output	Deductibles		
5		ranancj							Number	integrated?*		
5	30942VT0123456-01 Bronze				Standard Bronze On Exchange Plan			58.00%	Yes			
6	30942	2VT0123456-	02	Bronze	Zero	Cost Sharin	ig Plan Variat	tion	100.00%	Yes		
H.	1 N Cost Share Variances 1 Renefits Package 2 Cost Shill 4											

To complete Validation 4, use Plans and Benefits Templates *Cost Sharing Variance* tab data from *Level of Coverage Metal Level, CSR Variation Type,* and *AV Calculator Output Number.* 

52



Actuarial Value Review Process Steps         Validation Reads           Review         Review dep         Review dep         Review description and procedure         Step description         Source           5         Review dep         Review description and procedure         Validation 5           6         Review dep         Review description and procedure         A table         Counce         Validation 5           6         Review description in the tampile to asses whether an Actuarial Value Review Process Steps         Validation File         Process Review description         Source         Validation File           A         8         C         Actuarial Value Review Process Steps         Validation File           1         Review dep         Review description and procedure         Validation File         Validation File           1         Review dep         Review description and procedure         Validation File         Validation File           1         Review dep         Review description and procedure         Validation File         Validation File           1         Review dep         Review description and procedure         Validation File         Validation File           1         Review description         Source         Validation File         Validation File           2         Review descrip	A	В	C	D	E	F
Review         Review step         Review description and procedure         Support         Visition           5         Review description and procedure         Visition 5         Visition 5         Visition 5           5         Review description and procedure         Visition 5         Visition 5         Visition 5           6         Review description and procedure         Visition 5         Visition 6         Visition 6           7         Review description and procedure         Visition 6         No         Visition 6           8         C         Actuarial Value Review Process Steps         Visition 6         Visition 6           8         Review description and procedure         Visition 6         No         Visition 6           1         Fermider         Review description and procedure         Visition 6         Visition 6           1         Visition 6         Review description and procedure         Visition 6         Visition 6           1         No         Review description and procedure         No         Review description and procedure         Visition 6           1         No         Review description and procedure         No         No         No         No           1         No         Review description and procedure         No			Actuarial Value	Review Process Steps		
Baview         Review step         Review description         Step description         Source           5         Review description and procedure         Step description         Source         Validation 5           5         Review description and procedure         Non-thermations         Status Advance         Counce           4         A         B         C         Advance         D         E           1         A         B         C         Advance         Status Advance         Non-thermation           1         A         B         C         Advance         D         E           1         Advance         Review description and procedure         Non-thermation         Status Advance         Non-thermation           1         Review step         Review description and procedure         Non-thermation         Status Advance         Non-thermation           1         Review step         Review description and procedure         Non-thermation         Status Advance         OotH (1)           2         C         Advance         Non-thermation         Status Advance         OotH (1)         C           2         Function         Review step         Review description and procedure         Non-thermation         Status Advance					HIOS Issuer ID	Validation Results
Beview         Beview description and procedure         Step description         Source           5         Review Wook plans submitted as unique plan designs in the value data surge plan design of the value data surge plan designs in the value data surge plan design of the value data surge plan designs in the value data surge plan design of the value data da					Plan ID:	18637VT0123456
A         B         C         Validation of Begins in the template to assess whether an Actuand actions in Require to actuantial value associated supporting documents have been proded and the Actuand Centification and proceeds and the Actuand Centification and proceeds and the Actuand actions and proded and the Actuand Centification and proceeds and the Actuand Centification and proded and the Actuand Centification and procedure to actuantial Value Review Process Steps Validation Remaints to actual Value	Review	Review step	Review description and procedure	Step description	Source	
5         Review theory these plans solutified as unique plan           6         Review theory developments         AV         Non-Decrementors         Solution Advances         Committy	1		Ν	Validation 5		
b         Actual II Units Reside         Actual II Value Review Advance         Optimized Advance			Review those plans submitted as unique plan		-	
4 H. Bornany, Booth Cost Shares Material Difference AV Non-Decremention. Service Area Material Cost State (Cost State)         D         C         D         C         D         C         Valuation (Cost State)         Valuation (Co	2 5		Actuarial Certification is Required.		~	
A     B     C     D     E       Actuarial Value     Review Process Steps     Validation Results       Review     Review step     Review description and procedure     Step description       Start     Step description     Source       Image: Start     Start     Start       Review     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal       Image: Start     Review description and procedure     Non-Decrementor     Sense Anal	<b>▲ ► ₩</b> /	Formulary / Bene	efit Cost Sharing 🧹 Meaninoful Difference 🗌 AV 🦯	Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy		
Actuarial Value Review Process Steps     Validation Results       HIDS IssuerD     IRS7       Review     Review step     Review description and procedure     Validation Results       4     8     C     D     E       7     Validation Results     HIDS IssuerD     Validation Results       10     8     C     D     E       7     Review dep     Review description and procedure     Validation 7       7     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep       8     C     Actuarial Value Review Process Steps     Validation Results       10     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep       10     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep       10     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep       10     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep       10     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep       11     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep     Review dep       12     A     8     C     D     E       13     Formbary     Beref Col Sharp     Notemarket     Notemarket       14     8     C<	A	В	С	D	E	F
Review     Review description and procedure     Step description       Review     Review description and procedure     Step description       Surce     Validation (Results       1     Non-Network (Adaptator)       2     Non-Network (Adaptator)       3     C       4     8       4     Non-Network (Adaptator)       3     Verify a permissible alterative method vasual       4     8       4     8       4     8       4     8       4     8       4     8       4     8       7     welder       8     C       7     welder       8     C       7     welder       8     C       7     welder       9     7       9     7       9     7       9     7       9     7       9     7       9     7       9     7       9     7       9     7       9     7       9     8       9     8       9     7       9     8        10     10   <	L		Actuarial Value	Review Process Steps		
Review       Review description       A g       C       Validation for starting and procedure to the base of the actual value to the actual	-					Validation Results
Review       Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         6       Validation 6       Validation 6       Validation 6         6       peorded and the Actuarial Value has been confided       Validation 6       Validation 6         7       Monthermole (Statishing)       Meanglui Difference       AV       Environmentor       Service Area / Network Adequacy       ODPN() 4 (iii)       >         7       Validation Review description and procedure       Step description       Source       Validation Review 10       Files/Vir023466         7       validation Review 10       Review cason for not using AV calculator to validation to the actually unique       Validation Review 10       Files/Vir023466         8       C       D       E       F         9       N       Pennelly (Statishing Meanvirol Difference Area / Network Adequacy       ODPN() 4 (iii)       >         4       8       C       D       E       F         8       C       D       E       F         9       A       8       C       D       E       F         9       Actuarial Value Review Yeoces Steps       Validation Result       Validation Result       Yalidation Result       Yalidation Result         1					Plan ID:	18637VT0123456
Validation 6         0       Verify that the Actuarial Value Conflictation and associated supporting documents have been effective of the actuarial Value Review Process Steps       ODME(1)         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results         HOS Issuer ID: 16877       Pran. D: Service Area       Northermation       Service Area       Validation Results         Pran. D: Service Area       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source       Validation Results         7       Inclusion Area       Validation 7       Validation Results       Validation Results         7       Inclusion Area       Review description and procedure       Service Area       Network Adeguacy       ODPMI 4 (in)       Pran. D: BS3770123456         7       Inclusion Area       Review description and procedure       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       E       F         8       C       D       E       F       Validation Results       Validation Results         9       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       E       F       Validation Results       Validation Results         9       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       D       E       F       Validation Re	Review	Review step	Review description and procedure	Step description	Source	
6       Werfy that the Actuarial Cetification and associated supporting documents have been provided and the actuarial value has been cetified         • # I _ Formulary _ Benefic Cetification and procedure       D       E       7         • A       B       C       D       E       7         • No Decrimentation       Service Area _ Network Adequacy _ OOPH(] 4 [ m)       Plan To [ Network				Validation 6		
6         provided and be actuallable therein term           9         Promotery         Benefit Cast Shares         A technolytic Difference			Verify that the Actuarial Certification and			
	6		provided and the actuarial value has been certified		-	
A       B       C       D       E       F         Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results         HiOS Issuer D       16537         Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Validation 7         7       Review reason for not using AV calculator to validate valid	• • • /	Formulary / Bene	efit Cost Sharing / Meaningful Difference AV /	Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy	OOPM I 4	
Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Indiation Results         HIOS Issuer ID: 16637       Plant ID: 16637         Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description         Y       Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description         Y       Review step       Review description and procedure       A list Stand       A list Stand         Y       Review step       Review description and procedure       A list Stand       A list Stand       Review reason for not using AV calculator to validation Results         Y       Review Review step       Review description and procedure       A list Stand       Review Review Process Steps       Interview Review TD 18637         Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source       Interview Review TD 18637         Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source       Interview Review Review Review Review Review Review Process Steps       Validation Results         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E	A	В	С	D	E	F
Control       Contro       Control       Control			Actuarial Value	Review Process Steps		
Hilds       Bester       Beview step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         7       validation to tusing AV calculato to validation to valid	1				11001 12	Validation Results
Review       Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         7       Review reason for not using AV calculator to validate whether the plan is actually unique       Validation 7         8       PHI / Formulary / Beneft Cost Sharng / Heaningful Difference AV / Non-Oscimination / Service Area / Network Adeguacy / OOPHI (1 iii)       P         A       8       C       D       E       F         Validation Results       HIOS Issuer ID 165377       Plan ID 165377       Plan ID 165377         8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used       Step description       Source       Source         9       Vilidation 8       Validation 8       Validation 8       Validation 8       Validation 8         10       A       8       C       D       E       F         Validation 8       Validation 8       Validation 8       Validation 8       Validation 8         10       A       8       C       D       E       F         Validation 9       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation 9       Validation 9         A       8       C       D       E       F         Validation 9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require 8       Validation 9       Assess whether any					HIOS Issuer ID: Plan ID:	18637 18637VT0123456
3       7       Validation 7         3       7       Review reason for not using AV calculator to plan is actually upper the plan is actually uper plan is actually upper	Review	v Review step	Review description and procedure	Step description	Source	
7       Review reason for not using AV calculator to validation to validation to validation to validation to validation to validation to validation.       Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM[] ( iii) // Validation Results         A       B       C       D       E       F         Validation Results       HIOS Issuer ID.       IBS37/TDF25456       Validation Results         Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM[] ( iii)       Pain ID.         A       B       C       D       E       F         Validation 8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM[] ( iii)       Pian ID.         A       B       C       D       E       F         Validation 8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM[] ( iii)       Pian ID.         A       B       C       D       E       F         Validation 9       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation 9       Validation 9         A       B       C       D       E	8			Validation 7	·	
y       I       Ivaidate whether the plan is actually unique y       Ivaidate whether the plan is actually unique plan designs require y       Ivaidate whether the plan is a more detailed actualial actualial actualial actualial actualial value Review Process Steps       Ivaidate whether the plan is actualiant the plan is a more detailed actualial actualiant actualiant actualiant plan is a more detailed actualiant actualis actualiant actualion to y       Iv	7		Review reason for not using AV calculator to		K	
A       B       C       D       E       F         Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results       Validation Results         Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       Validation 8         Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         Validation 8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       Validation 8       Validation 8         Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F       Validation 8       Validation 8       Validation 8       Validation 9       Validation 10	- - н н //	Formulary Ben	Ivalidate whether the plan is actually unique efit Cost Sharing / Meaningful Difference AV /	Non-Discrimination Service Area Network Adequacy	OOPMII 4	
Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results         Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       Non-Decrimation / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPMI (100)         A       B       C       D       E       F         Validation 9       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results       Validation Results         HIOS Issuer ID, 18637       To prove the Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results       P         9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.       Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPMI (100)       No         9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.       Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPMI (100)       No         10       Review step       Review description and procedure       Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPMI (100)       No         10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results       No         10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.       No       No       OPMI (100)         10	A	B	C	D	E	F
Review       Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       Validation 8         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results       HIOS Issuer ID       B37VT0123456         Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source       Source         9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require and work Adequacy < OOPM(14 (in)		0	Actuarial Value	Review Process Steps		
HiOS Issuer ID: [18637         Review       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         8       Verify a permissible attenative method was used to generate the AV.       Validation 8         • MI / Formulary / Benefic Cost Sharing / Maningful Difference       AV       Non-Discrimation       Service Area / Network Adequacy       ODMIL (IIII)         A       B       C       D       E       F         Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results       Validation 9         8       C       D       E       F         Validation       Step description       Surce       Validation Results         9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Contrantation       Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPMIL (III)       F         9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Contrantation       Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPMIL (III)       F         A       B       C       D       E       S       F         10 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Validation Results</td>						Validation Results
Review       Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         Review       Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         Image: Step description       Source       Source       Source         Image: Step description       Source       D       E       F         Image: Step description       Source       D       E       F         Image: Step description       Source       Validation Results       HIOS Issuer ID       HIOS Issuer ID         Image: Step description       Source       Validation 9       Source       Validation 9         Image: Step description       Source       Image: Source       Validation 9       Source       Validation 9         Image: Step description       Source       Image: Source       Image: Source       Validation 9         Image: Step description       Source       Image: Source       Image: Source       Validation 10         Image: Step description       Source       Imag					HIOS Issuer ID	18637
Nortex     Nortex     Nortex     Supplex Liption     Supplex Liption       3     Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.     Validation 8       4     Nortex     Nortex     Nortex       5     A     B     C     D       6     Nortex     Nortex     Nortex     Nortex       7     Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.     Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() (IIII)       9     Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.     Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() (IIII)       7     Cortification.     A     B     C     D     E       8     C     D     E     5       9     Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.     Nor-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() (IIIIII)       9     A     B <td>Rovieu</td> <td>Review stop</td> <td>Review description and procedure</td> <td>Sten description</td> <td>Plan ID Source</td> <td>18637V1023456</td>	Rovieu	Review stop	Review description and procedure	Sten description	Plan ID Source	18637V1023456
8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       Image: Constraint of the AV.         4       8       Verify a permissible alternative method was used to generate the AV.       Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM[] (Image: Constraint of the AV.         4       8       C       D       E       F         4       8       C       D       E       F         4       8       C       D       E       F         4       8       C       D       E       F         4       8       C       D       E       F         4       8       C       D       E       F         4       A       B       C       D       E       F         4       A       B       C       D       E       F         4       A       B       C       Validation 9       A       Service Area / Network Adeguacy / OOPM[] (Image: D       Y         9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.       A       C       D       E       F         4       B       C       D       E       C       D       E       F	Review	Neview step	Neview description and procedure	Validation 8	Source	
4 <ul> <li>to generate the AV.</li> <li>Ito generate the AV.</li> <li>Ito generate the AV.</li> <li>A B</li> <li>A B</li> <li>C</li> <li>A C</li> <li>Actuarial Value Review Process Steps</li> </ul> Validation Results           A         B         C         D         E         F           A         B         C         D         E         F           A         B         C         D         E         F           A         B         C         D         E         F           Actuarial Value Review Process Steps         Validation Results         HIOS Issuer ID: 1863         1863           B         C         Validation 9         Source         Validation 9           Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.         Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM(14)         P           A         B         C         D         E         F           A         B         C         D         E         F           A         B         C         D         E         F           A         B         C         D         E         F           A			Verify a permissible alternative method was used			
	и <sup>о</sup>		to generate the AV.			
A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results       HIOS Issuer ID:       1863         Review       Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Contribution       Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() ( )       Image: Contribution of the actuarial review of the Actuarial Contribution of the unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Contribution of the unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Contribution of the unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Contribution of the unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Contribution of the unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Contribution of the unique plan description and procedure       D       E       Image: Contribution of the unique plan description of the unique plan description and procedure       Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() ( )       Validation Results         Review       Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source       Validation 10         10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.       Network Adequacy /		Formulary / Ben	efit Cost Sharing / Meaningful Difference / AV /	Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy /	OOPM[] ◀ [III]	
Actuarial Value Review Process Steps         Validation Results         HIOS Issuer ID:         1         Actuarial Value Review Process Steps         Validation 9         Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Centification.         Y         A       B         C       D         Eview step       Review description and procedure         A       B         C       D         Eview step       Review description and procedure         A       B         C       D         Eview step       Review description and procedure         A       B         C       D         Eview       Review step         Review step       Review description and procedure         Step description       Source         Validation 10       Validation 10         Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.         V       Yon-Discrimination       Service Area         Velock Adequacy       OOPMI         V       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable. </td <td>A</td> <td>В</td> <td>C</td> <td>D</td> <td>E</td> <td>F</td>	A	В	C	D	E	F
A       B       C       D       E         A       B       C       D       E         A       B       C       D       E         A       B       C       D       E         A       B       C       D       E         Validation 9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.       Avv       Non-Discrimination       Service Area / Network Adeguacy       OOPMI        Im         A       B       C       D       E       Validation Results         HIOS Issuer ID       19637       19637       19637       19637         A       B       C       D       E       Validation Results         HIOS Issuer ID       19637       19637       19637       19637         Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source       Validation 10         10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to detailed memorandum and supporting documents to detailed memorandum and supporting ha is reasonable.       Non-Discrimination       Service Area / Network Adeguacy / OOPMI ( Im)       Im)	1		Actuarial Value	Review Process Steps		Validatio Posulta
Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         6       Validation 9         7       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.       Validation 9         7       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.       Validation 9         A       B       C       D       E         A       B       C       D       E         A       B       C       D       E         Validation 10       Plan ID: 1837       Plan ID: 1837         10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the Avie plan is reasonable.       Validation 10         Validation 20       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.       Avie: Network Adequacy OOPM() 4 (m)	3				HIOS Issuer ID	18637
Review Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source         6       Validation 9         9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.       A       Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() 4 m         * * M       / Formulary / Benefit Cost Sharing / Meaningful Difference       AV / Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() 4 m       *         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         A       B       C       D       E       F         Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source       Validation 10         10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plain is reasonable.       V       Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() 4 m       >	4				Plan ID	: 12637VT0123456
6       Validation 9         9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.	5 Review	w Review step	Review description and procedure	Step description	Source	
9       Assess whether any unique plan designs require a more detailed actuarial review of the Actuarial Certification.       Actuarial value Review of the Actuarial Certification.         4 * */       Formulary       Benefit: Cost Sharing       Meaningful Difference       AV       Non-Discrimination       Service Area        Network Adequacy       OOPM() 4 (a)       *         A       B       C       D       E       5         Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results         HIOS Issuer ID:       11/87         Plan ID       Plan ID       Plan ID         10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.       Veloce Area       Network Adequacy       OOPM() 4 (a)	46			Validation 9		
7       Certification.         (*)*)       Formulary       Benefit Cost Sharing       Meaningful Difference       AV       Non-Discrimination       Service Area       Network Adequacy       OOPM() ( )       >         A       B       C       D       E       C       Plant ID       Non-Discrimination       Service Area       Network Adequacy       OOPM() ( )       >       >         A       B       C       D       E       C       Plant ID       Non-Discrimination       Service Area       Network Adequacy       Validation Results         Review       Review step       Review description and procedure       Step description       Source       Validation 10         10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.       Non-Discrimination       Service Area       Network Adequacy       OOPM() ( )       >	Q		Assess whether any unique plan designs require		K	
	47		Certification.			
A     B     C     D     E     5       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       HIOS Issuer ID     Validation Results       HIOS Issuer ID     10837       Review Review step     Review description and procedure     Step description       Source     Validation 10       10     Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.     Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() 4 [m]	4 4 <b>F</b> H 📿	/ Formulary / Ben	nefit Cost Sharing 🧹 Meaningful Difference 🔒 AV 🖉	Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy		
Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Actuarial Value Review Process Steps       Validation Results       HIOS Issuer ID:     HIOS Issuer ID:       Review Review step     Review description and procedure     Step description       Source       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.     Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.     Non-Discrimination	A	В	С	D	E	F
Validation Results       HIOS Issuer ID:     1937       Review     Review step     Review description and procedure     Step description       Validation 10     Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.     Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.     Non-Discrimination <ul> <li>Image: Step description</li> <li>Image: Step description</li></ul>	L		Actuarial Value	Review Process Steps		
IFIUS 153/FILE 158/FILE	2				UIOO Issue ID	Validation Results
Review step     Review description and procedure     Step description       Validation 10       10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.       Image: Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.       Image: Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.	5				Plan ID:	18637VT0123456
Validation 10         10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.         Image:	Review	Review step	Review description and procedure	Step description	Source	1
10       Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable.	9		V	/alidation 10		
10       and supporting documents to determine if the AV of the unique plan is reasonable. <ul> <li> </li> <li> </li></ul>			Perform detailed review of Actuarial Memorandum		K	
OPM() ↓ m     Meaningful Difference AV Non-Discrimination / Service Area / Network Adequacy / OOPM() ↓ m     P	10		and supporting documents to determine if the AV			
🔹 Þ 🏑 Formulary 🖉 Benefit Cost Sharing 🦯 Meaningful Difference 📜 AV 🖉 Non-Discrimination 🖉 Service Area 🦯 Network Adequacy 🖉 OOPM[] 4 📖 💦 🖡	0		of the unique plan is reasonable.			
		/= . / -	All Cost Charing Magnin of J Differences	Non Discrimination Songico Area Network Adequacy		





2. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the Validation Results (Met or Not Met) for the AV requirements.





3. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *AV* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.



4. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the AV review.



### XI: NON-DISCRIMINATION BENEFIT REVIEW

The *Non-Discrimination* review conducts plan-level analyses targeting areas where discrimination would most likely occur, consistent with applicable regulations, to ensure that issuers do not employ benefit designs that discourage enrollment of individuals with significant health needs.

- Open the Qualified Health Plan Application State Review Tools folder and run the Non-Discrimination Tool for all the plans you wish to evaluate. For more information on running the Non-Discrimination Tool, see section IV. Non-Discrimination Benefit Review Tool in the <u>OHP Application</u> <u>State Review Tools User Guide: Loading the Data</u>.
- 2. If you decide to use the Non-Discrimination Benefit Review stand-alone tool, review the validation steps in the Master Review Tool *Non-Discrimination* tab to better understand the logic behind the Non-Discrimination Benefit Review Tool or to see where you can submit justifications.

4	Α	В	С	D	
1			Open the Mester		
2					Open the Master
3 T	'he discrin	nination validation	n can be performed using a separate Exc	el tool, or manually through validation steps.	Review Tool Non-
4	Sel	ect how this non-	-discrimination review will be performed:	Through the discrimination tool	<i>Discrimination</i> tab to
5		If using the disc	rimination tool, proceed to row 14	Through the discrimination tool	see the review
6		If using the man	ual review, proceed to row 28		description.
• •	F H Z	Benefit Cost Sha	ring 🖉 Meaningful Difference 🏑 AV 🔪 🛚	Ion-Discrimination Service All 4	

Use the *drop-down menu* in cell D4 to select how you will perform the review.



3. Open the Non-Discrimination Benefit Review Tool *Output* tab to see the issuer's plans you wish to review.





4. Using the data in the Non-Discrimination Benefit Review Tool *Output* tab, go to the Master Review Tool *Non-Discrimination* tab and use the dropdown menus in the *Validation Results* columns to indicate if an issuer's plan has met the discrimination requirement.







5. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the *Validation Results* (Met or Not Met) for the *Non-Discrimination* requirements.

<b>X F</b>	8 x N			Matter Revents Tool (cijikputism   Microsoft Eccel					
File	Home	Injett Page La	apud Formulas Data Review View	Proposal Tools				• 🖓 o e 🛪	
Nomia	Page Pag	ge Berak Custom Pu	a 2 Godines 2 Headings Zoom 1007	Tools to Verse Service Prezz	save Switch 1	lacros			
	Work	sopel: Views	Show Zoo	selection window as vanes in window window	workspace windows *	Aatros			
	G16	- (- )	& Not Met					1.04	
100	A	8	c	D	E	F	6	H ·	The worksheet determines
4			Discriminatory Quantitative Limits, I	Language, and Cost Sharing Review Process Steps				1	
2	a attenda	denote a such dealer	and the second se	and an all an anomality alternative contrates in a second				1.1	overall compliance for each
2 11	e discrin	lect how this non	n can be performed using a separate E	xcel tool, or manually through validation steps.	1				
3		If using the disci	rimination tool, proceed to row 110	Through the discrimination room		-			provider based on the
6		If using the mani	ual review, proceed to row 28			Validation Result	\$		Validation Dogulta (Mot on
7					HIOS Issuer ID	18637	18637	18637	Valiaation Results (Iviet of
8 <u>St</u>	eps if usi	ng the stand-alor	ne Meaningful Difference Tool	Providence/aster	Plan ID	18637VT0123456-0	1 18637VT0123457-01	18637VT012	Not Mot)
9	Review	Review step	Review description and procedure	Researched for the following areas	Tool Data inputs	-		-	INULIVIEL).
102				Discriminatory language related to cost sharing	-				
104	13			. Unlawful exclusions or limits related to cost sharing.	Exclusions: Explanation				
105				Note: EHB text review should focus on language related to limits or other restrictions. QHP text review should focus on language related to cost sharing.	(text field)				
106	13	b		If any discriminatory language was identified, check to see whether there is accompanying justification					
107	13	c		If there is no accompanying justification or the justification is insufficient, mark as not met.					
108					Based on the previous validation steps, the discrimination review requirement for this plan is:	Met	Not Met	Met	
109						-			
110						-			
112									
113									
114						-			
115						-		1	
110						-		- U	
	H . EHB	ECP Formulary	Benefit Cost Sharing Meaningful Difference	- AV Non-Discrimination Service Area Histwork Adequacy .	DOPM Details SGD Detail	s (ildial)			
Ready								0 (+)	



60

6. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *Non-Discrimination* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.



7. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the Non-Discrimination review.



## XII: SERVICE AREA REVIEW

The *Service Area* review verifies that each service area meets geographic standards set forth in the Exchange Final Rule and is non-discriminatory (e.g., service areas of at least an entire county).

1. Use the Service Area Templates to complete the Service Area review.







2. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the *Validation Results* (Met or Not Met) for the *Service Area* requirements.

	А	В	С	D	E	F	F G	
1			Service Area Review	Process Steps				
2						Validation Results		
3					HIOS Issuer ID, Service Area ID:	18637, VTS001	18637, VTS00	2
	Review	Review step	Review description and	Step description	Source			
4			procedure	<u> </u>				
5			Validation	1	1			
15	4		Conduct analysis to see if other issuers are serving the full county for which the applicant is requesting a partial county.		Service area template and supporting document upload.			determines overall compliance for
16	4	a		Determine if other issuers are serving the full county for which the applicant is requesting a partial county. If they also are not, mark as met. If they are, consider whether justification is still adequate in light of other issuers who are serving the full service area. If it is adequate, mark as met. If it is not adequate, mark as not met.		Met	N	each provider based on the <i>Validation Results</i> ( <b>Met</b> or <b>Not Met</b> ).
10						- K		
17					Based on the previous validation steps, the review requirement review requirement for this service	Met	Not Met	t
18					area is:			
19								
20								
21								
22								
I4 4	▶ N / SHOP T	Tying / EHB / ECP / For	rmulary / Benefit Cost Sharing / Meanir	ngful Difference / AV / Non-Discrir	mination Service Area Network Ad	leguacy / OOPM Details		



3. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *Service Area* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.

For Home Wart Fage Internal Page Page Break Couldon I Layout Priving Viters So Warkboot Viters	Agricul Hommas Dias Renorm Virtum Hongari Homma Cod Julian Marcel Renorm Dias Renorm Virtum Hongari Hom Second Code Renorm Code Renorm Reno	<ul> <li>Microsoft Local</li> <li>US View Date by Date</li> <li>US Symmetry Date by Date</li> <li>US Symmetry Date</li> <li>US Date of the symmetry of the</li></ul>	Save Switch Workspace Windows -	Agreed Agreed	େ <b>ମୁ</b> ଳ କାୟ ଜ	
A	B	C	D	E	F *	
1	Master Review Tool					
2	NOC Immed D	Validation Results	40007	10002	Luneaz T	
1	HIUS ISsuer ID	Standard Bronze Off	Standard Bronze On	Standard Silver Off	Standard Silver On	
4	Type of Plan	Exchange Plan	Exchange Plan	Exchange Plan	Exchange Plan	
7	Formulary ID	VTF001	VTF001	VTF001	VTF001	
8	Drug list ID Network ID	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	Drug list not inputed	
10	Service area ID	VTS001	VTS001	VTS002	VTS002	
11 Section/Standa	ard Function of Review	presso.	Constraints.	17.000 ···		
21 Non-Discrimination	Perform an outlier analysis on selected benefits and drug cost- sharing.	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	
22 Service Area	Service Area Confirm that issuers include full counties or have a justifiable reason for partial counties.		Met		Not Met	
23 Network Adequacy	Confirm that Tier 3 network adequacy issuers submitted a complete access plan.		Met		Met	
.4	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met		
17 18						Open the Master Review
9						1 ool Review Summary tab
31						to see the auto-populated
32						to see the adto populated
33					U	review results.
THE REAL FOR THE REVIEW	Summary Annalase Streetston Spire Lang SHR SHR Some	Panale Core Shanna	Managarfu Differenza	U THE D		
leady				Count: 0	I 125% - 0 - (+)	

4. Save the Master Review Tool after you have completed the *Service Area* review.



### XIII. NETWORK ADEQUACY REVIEW

The *Network Adequacy* review includes different processes for evaluating network adequacy including compliance with a current or proposed state network adequacy review, accepting attestations from accredited issuers, or requiring issuers to submit a network adequacy plan.

### 1. Use the Network Adequacy section in QHP Application and Network Access Plan to complete the *Network Adequacy* review.





2. Use the drop-down menus to indicate if provider attestations to the compliance elements are Met or Not Met.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		
1			Network Adequ	uacy Review Process Steps						
2						Validation Results				
3					HIOS Issuer ID:	18637	30942	33674		
	Review	Review step	Review description and	Step description	Source					
4			procedure	Malidadian d						
5				Validation 1	1					
	4		Verify attestations.		Network Adequacy section					
6	1.1				in QHP Application System				-	Use the drop-
				Check issuer attestation responses. If		Met	Not Met	Not Met		down menus to
	1	а		sufficient, mark as met. If not, mark as	-					indicate if
7				not met.						indicate ii
8				Validation 2						provider
			If using Accreditation OR the							attestations to
	2		Network Access Plan to							the compliance
			determine network adequacy,							
9			review applicable information.							elements are
				If using Accreditation to determine						Met or Not
	2			network adequacy, check results of	Accreditation review					Mot
	2	a		requirement was met, mark as met. If	Accreditation review					Iviet.
10				not, mark as not met.		Met	Met	Not Met		
				If Network Access Plan was submitted.		mor	mor	Not mot		
	2	h		review to determine if all required	Network Access Dian					
	2	U		elements were included. If yet, mark as	Network Access Plan					
11				met. If not, mark as not met.						
				If Network Access Plan was not		]				
	2			submitted, determine if justification was	Network Assess Disa					The worksheet
	2	c		provided. If justification is sufficient,	Network Access Plan					determines overall
12				mark as met. If not, mark as not met.						
13										compliance for
					Based on the previous					each provider
					validation steps, the					based on the
					requirement for this issuer	Met	Not Met	Not Met		Validation Degult
14					is:					valiaation Kesults
15										(Met or Not Met).
16										
17									1	
14 4	► H	SHOP TVIN	a / EHB / ECP / Formula	ry Benefit Cost Sharing Mea	aninaful Difference 🖉 AV	Non-Discriminati	on / Service Area	Network Adequ	acy /	

3. The worksheet determines overall compliance for each provider based on the *Validation Results* (Met or Not Met) for the *Network Adequacy* requirements.



66

4. After you have manually populated **Met** or **Not Met** for each issuer's plan in the Master Review Tool *Network Adequacy* tab, open the Master Review Tool *Review Summary* tab to see the auto-populated results.





### APPENDIX I: ACRONYMS AND TERMS

Acronym	Definition
AV	Actuarial Value
AVC	Actuarial Value Calculator
APTC	Advance Payment of the Premium Tax Credit
ACA	Affordable Care Act
API	Application Programming Interface
ВРСК	Branded Pack
CCIIO	Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight
CMS	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
СОА	Certificate of Authority
CALT	Collaborative Application Lifecycle Tool
CAHPS	Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems
CSR	Cost-Sharing Reduction
HHS	Department of Health and Human Services
DOI	Department of Insurance
DSH	Disproportionate Share Hospital
EIN	Employer Identification Number
ECP	Essential Community Provider
EHB	Essential Health Benefit
EPO	Exclusive Provider Organization
FEIN	Federal Employer Identification Number
FPL	Federal Poverty Level Version 1 B-2
FQHC	Federally Qualified Health Center
FFM	Federally-Facilitated Marketplace
FF-SHOP	Federally-Facilitated Small Business Health Options Program
GSA	General Services Administration
GPCK	Generic Pack
HIOS	Health Insurance Oversight System
HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act



Acronym	Definition
НМО	Health Maintenance Organization
HPSA	Health Professional Shortage Area
HRA	Health Reimbursement Arrangement
HSA	Health Savings Account
ISS	Interactive Survey System
МСО	Managed Care Organization
MOOP, also OOPM	Maximum Out of Pocket, also OOPM
М	Multiplier
NAIC	National Association of Insurance Commissioners
NCQA	National Committee for Quality Assurance
NPI	National Provider Identifier
OIG	Office of the Inspector General
OOPM, also MOOP	Out-Of-Pocket Maximum, also MOOP
POS	Point of Service
PPO	Preferred Provider Organization
QHP	Qualified Health Plan
SBD	Semantic Branded Drug
SCD	Semantic Clinical Drug Version 1 B-3
SHOP	Small Business Health Options Program
SGD	Small Group Deductible
SEP	Special Enrollment Period
SBM	State Based Marketplace
SERVIS	State Exchange Resource Virtual Information System
SPM	State Partnership Marketplaces
SBC	Summary of Benefits and Coverage
SERFF	System for Electronic Rate and Form Filing
TIN	Taxpayer Identification Number
TTY	Term Types
UMLS	Unified Medical Language System
UCAA	Uniform Certificate of Authority Application



Acronym	Definition
USP	United States Pharmacopeia
.xlms	Excel Macro-Enabled Workbook